

No. 215 JANUARY 1987

Spearhead

50p



'SUPERMAC': ARCHITECT OF MINI-BRITAIN

(See page 3)

Nationalist comment

WHAT WE THINK

on the month's news

The party game: time for things to begin in earnest

We enter 1987 not yet knowing for certain whether it is going to be an election year. Nonetheless, the possibility of this has already done much to shape the political climate around us. The party warriors of 'democracy' are eagerly girding their loins for the coming fight. All politics are directed to one aim: success in the big mass popularity contest soon to come. The con-men in charge of the party propaganda machines are in their element, vying with one another to produce attractively designed packages that the public will flock to buy. And in their efforts to this end they display an ever greater contempt for both the intelligence and the memory of the electorate.

A short time before the end of the old year we had a Labour Party TV broadcast. Of all things, it was devoted, believe it or not, to defence! The object of the broadcast was to explain to the public Labour's commitment to unilateral nuclear disarmament. Someone had clearly whispered in the ear of Mr. Kinnock that the British populace was not by any means a bunch of total pacifists and that therefore disarmament promises had only a very limited electoral mileage. The broadcast therefore offered a sop to those alarmed by the prospect of surrender of our nuclear capabil-

ity by promising a strengthening of our conventional forces, and we were treated to what would have been a stirring (if it were not so obviously bogus) demonstration of what Labour intended to do to boost our armed services.

To any realistic political observer, the idea that Britain's defence, whether nuclear or conventional, can hope for anything from Labour is quite comical. So deeply ingrained is the pacifist spirit within that party that even were Mr. Kinnock, albeit only for purposes of political opportunism, to wish sincerely to



MR. KINNOCK
'Defender of Britain!'

build up our armed forces, he would not have the slightest hope of getting his party to support him in such an endeavour. The public should be reminded that Labour local authorities all over the country have imposed bans on recruiting drives by the fighting services, that Labour-controlled education committees have been seeking to remove from schools and libraries all books containing stories of the deeds of British fighting men, on the grounds that these glorify 'militarism' and 'war', and that the Labour Party in Parliament, almost down to the last man and woman, was opposed in 1982 to military action to rescue the Falklands from Argentine aggression, just as it has been opposed to the use of military action to defend Northern

Ireland. The ranks of Labour, from top to bottom, are riddled with the spirit of defeatism and surrender and with an aversion to all things military that is quite pathological. Yet such is the effrontery of the party's new propaganda tricksters and slick advertisers the public is now expected to forget all this and actually believe that the defence of the realm can be safely entrusted to a Labour Government! The presumption is breathtaking.

But this is not to say that the Tories intend to get left behind in the race to hoodwink the people. Councillors of their appalling record in the employment sector and the votes to be lost thereby, Thatcher and Co. are now reported to be preparing a new pack of 'goodies' to dangle before the electorate at just the opportune time and with the object of promising lots of jobs if only the voting sheep will keep faith in them. According to a report in *The Sunday Express* of January 4th, the Government is planning a series of "massive private development schemes providing hundreds of thousands of new jobs" which "won't cost the taxpayers a single penny in capital costs."

These, the report continues, include a new Severn bridge or tunnel (isn't one bridge enough?), a new motorway through the Black Country and hydro-electric barrages for Liverpool and Cardiff.

Apparently, the scheme is that private industry will be encouraged to design and build the projects, raising private capital for the purpose, and to run them for an agreed number of years before their investment has been repaid. According to the *SE*, "the new deal is already being described by Ministers as the most exciting concept the Tories have come up with in years."

The paper then proceeds to give the game away when it reports that "Ministers and election strategists have been working for months to have the plan ready if there is an



MRS. THATCHER
'Creator of jobs!'

SPEARHEAD

No. 215 JANUARY 1987
PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS
Editor: John Tyndall

Spearhead exists to reflect a cross-section of contemporary British Nationalist opinion. It is privately published by its founder and is independent of all political parties and groups.

Unless specifically stated to the contrary, the views expressed in signed articles or letters are the sole responsibility of their authors and do not necessarily represent the views of the Editor or the policies of any political organisation that *Spearhead* may support editorially.

The appearance of an advertisement in *Spearhead* is not necessarily indicative that the Editor has any knowledge of, interest in or support for the product, service, organisation or function advertised.

Spearhead welcomes enquiries from potential advertisers, to whom rates will be sent on request. Advertising matter, accompanied by pre-payment, must be submitted at least one month prior to the publishing date (normally the first day of each month) of the issue for which the advertisement is intended. The Editor reserves the right to refuse to publish advertisements submitted.

The Editor is pleased to receive from readers manuscripts of articles for possible publication, which should normally not be longer than 1,250 words and typed in double-spacing. No payment is made for articles published, which become *Spearhead* copyright unless authors specifically request otherwise at the time they submit their manuscripts. The Editor reserves the right to shorten or otherwise amend articles accepted for publication should shortage of space or editorial judgement require such alteration to be made.

Those wishing to reprint *Spearhead* articles must first gain the permission of the Editor and undertake to include with the printed matter the author's name and the name and address of *Spearhead*.

early poll."

In other words, the whole thing is going to be just one big vote-catching gimmick, as the siting of these projects in the West Midlands, Merseyside and South Wales — all areas of high unemployment — makes clear. It invites the question: if the idea is such a good one, why was it not adopted a long time previously in the near eight-year career of this Government? The answer is of course that it was not adopted because the exigencies of the vote-catching game had not previously pushed the image-makers of Central Office sufficiently far in that direction.

None of this is to say that the projects in question are necessarily bad in themselves. We should not, however, be drawn into regarding them as substitutes for industrial recovery. They are only going to make the minutest difference to the volume of orders won by British manufacturing industry — especially as, in deference to 'free market' principles, the firms partaking in such projects will no doubt be at liberty to contract all over the world for the means to carry them out. For this and other reasons, the claim that they will generate hundreds of thousands of jobs is sheer pie in the sky.

Britain's basic economic problem remains the same: the run down and consequent weakness of those sectors of manufacturing industry producing goods for a mass market, such as motor cars, motor lorries and motor cycles, domestic electrical goods, clothing, office equipment, machine tools, etc. Until these industries are regenerated, no significant inroads into the unemployment problem are going to be made. And they will not be regenerated as long as 'free market' doctrines oblige us to tolerate the flooding of this country by cut-price products from abroad.

With no sign of change in Government policies in this regard, we can treat the coming announcement of the new construction projects referred to by *The Sunday Express* as nothing more than a mere piece of pre-election ballyhoo, designed to pick up more votes than they will ever create jobs for the British people. As with Labour's protestations on defence, the electorate should not be fooled.

'Supermac': a national disaster

We do not intend to partake in the current orgy of tributes to Lord Stockton (otherwise known as Harold Macmillan), who died last month. Macmillan was a disastrous figure, and perhaps the leading symbol of a disastrous age and tendency in British politics. He will be best remembered for five things:-

Firstly, he played the major role, during the 1950s and early 1960s, in bringing about a retrograde reshaping of British global policy, turning us away from our kindred and natural partners in the Dominions and pointing us in the direction of European economic, and eventually political, integration. His efforts to take us into the EEC in his time happened to be frustrated by President De Gaulle but the damage to our Old Commonwealth relationship was nevertheless done, and it remained only for Macmillan's protegee Edward Heath to sign the document that ensnared us in the European Community a decade or so later.



MACMILLAN AND WILSON
Just sparring partners in the party game

Secondly, Macmillan signposted Tory policy on Africa with his infamous 'wind of change' speech, which alienated us from our friends in South Africa and helped to bring to power one after another incompetent and corrupt black government throughout that continent, the legacy of this today being hunger, chaos and communism.

Thirdly, he presided over a process of massed coloured immigration into this country which was the progenitor of the appalling race riots we have seen in later years, as well as the revolting police-state apparatus of 'anti-racism' which now seeks to terrorise anyone who objects to the state of affairs which Macmillan's policies largely initiated.

Fourthly, he, more than anyone else, laid the foundations of modern self-centred middle class values in Britain with his 'never-had-it-so-good' appeal to smug materialism — all this being based on a prosperity which was bound, in the nature of the policies he helped to set in motion, to be only transitory.

Finally, he was the major agent of his time of the process of shedding the last vestiges of national patriotism remaining in the Tory Party and of committing that party irrevocably to the service of internationalist ideals and international interests.

Harold Macmillan was not a man of any special talent — beyond that required to survive and prosper in the 'party game'. Nor did he demonstrate any special qualities of character. He rose to the top of the political tree and was accounted a success in his career because he was a man right 'in time', personifying the spirit and values of his period and being a totally loyal servant of the internationalist masters who have dominated post-war British and world affairs.

Perhaps the most telling among the accolades delivered to him on his death came from ex-Labour Leader and Premier Harold Wilson, who described him as a fine "sparring partner". That just about sums it up, for to people like Macmillan and Wilson, who both faithfully served the same system, the same overlords, the same *geist*, the same world, that is all the game of party politics really is: a

piece of sparring between two contestants whose business it is to keep each other on his toes but who can be relied upon never really to be in genuine opposition, since they are only hirelings of the same management.

If we needed a monument to the years in which Britain became firmly set on the road to national, imperial, economic, moral and racial decline, a large statue of 'Supermac' would most fittingly provide it. No doubt plans for several of such statues are already being laid, and we can expect to see them littering public squares in the near future. These days a politician's official stature stands in inverse proportion to his contribution to the welfare of the British nation and people. Macmillan has walked tall in modern history because he did more than anyone to make our country a pygmy state.

Why has the Government ditched Nimrod?

The Government decision to abandon Britain's home-produced early warning defence system Nimrod in favour of the American AWACS was more than just political and economic folly; it was pure treason.

Vast sums of public money had been spent on Nimrod. The system was nearly, though not quite, ready for use. A little more perseverance and it would have been available for incorporation into our national defence. Instead the Government chose to throw that money down the drain and rely on a foreign system.

It is said that service chiefs preferred AWACS, and the latter may indeed have been the superior of the two choices. Service chiefs, however, are notorious for their tendency to take a short-term view of things, thinking purely tactically and neglecting to recognise the vital strategic importance to Britain of a self-contained armaments industry. Without such an industry and the technology that goes with it, a nation is impotent in modern war.

Which is perhaps precisely what the present Government wants us to be.

THE REALITY BEHIND 'PRESS FREEDOM'

JOHN TYNDALL looks at the attacks on the South African censors and sees only humbug

"THE SOUTH AFRICAN government's new clamps on press freedom are unparalleled in their severity.

"In future the Minister of Home Affairs will be able to suppress any publication he fancies.

"Reports of calls for boycotts or strikes will be automatically banned while news or views on a host of 'sensitive' issues will not be allowed to appear in print until the authorities have cleared them.

"This is a giant step down the road to an out-and-out police state.

"The irony is that this step has been taken by a reforming government which has already dismantled many of the worst features of apartheid.

"Now, as in an old Greek tragedy, it is helplessly drifting to disaster."

The above is a reproduction of part of a *Daily Mail* editorial printed on Friday, December 12th. One has no way of knowing whether the writer, as he tapped it out, maintained a straight face or succumbed to the temptation to break into a large smirk, particularly when he used the term 'press freedom'. In all the silliness and hysteria that have surrounded Fleet Street reporting on the recent South African troubles, the *Daily Mail* has been by no means the worst offender. The words quoted, however, show that this paper, as much as any other, is dedicated to the service of the standard pretences and falsehoods of 'liberal' journalism.

Precisely what is the 'press freedom' of which the *Mail* editor speaks? To those who would take his words seriously, this would seem to have something to do with a system of democratic debate, held through the columns of the newspapers, in which everybody, from the humblest citizen up to the highest-ranking members of the community, have an equal say. The reality is miles removed from that picture. 'Press freedom', under conditions prevailing in the newspaper industry of the modern world, belongs only to a privileged few who have access to the channels of control through which newspaper power is exercised. In the ultimate analysis, these consist of newspaper-owners, who are in fact much smaller in number than would appear from the quantity of papers in circulation — such press barons as Rupert Murdoch in fact owning and controlling hundreds of papers. In Britain today, even what we are accustomed to calling 'local' newspapers are mostly merely small parts of gigantic press empires with holdings all over the country



BOTHA
His 'liberal'
critics are
themselves
the most rigid
controllers of
news

and indeed in many cases throughout the world.

LIMITS OF EDITORIAL PREROGATIVE

Editors are engaged by these owners to attend to the day-to-day, or week-to-week, tasks of publication. These editors enjoy a certain degree of prerogative in as much as they are not required to seek the permission of their chiefs for every word of every article and report that is printed. Such prerogatives, however, are limited by the fact that when the editors are hired it is made clear to them that they are expected to run their papers within boundary lines of broad policy as determined by the ownership. For instance, if it is the policy of the owner of a newspaper to oppose the established system of white leadership in South Africa and to support the transfer of power in that country to the hands of its non-white inhabitants, that is the policy that the editor of that paper must uphold — although it will be for the latter to choose from one day to another the precise items of news and the precise issues for comment that will be used in support of the policy, as well as the precise words chosen to give weight to it. If the editor was not basically of one mind with the owner on such matters, he would not be hired in the first place; should he change position subsequent to being hired, he will quickly find himself looking for another job.

The various contributors to a paper find themselves in the same position in relation to the editor as he to the owner. While given scope to write in their individual styles, they are expected to write in conformity with the same broad policy, as decided by the owner. Should a sentence or a phrase slip in which might sound as if it runs contrary to this policy, it is likely to be deleted by the editor before copy goes to print. The individual

journalist is allowed to have a mind of his own, within certain limits, provided always that the mind as exhibited in the columns of the paper for which he writes is at root that of the person who at the end of the month supplies his wage packet.

I state this rule not with any view to calling it into question; in fact no other rule could possibly operate. The proprietor of any enterprise must control that enterprise; any alternative road leads to bolshevism.

NECESSITY FOR CONTROL

But in an organically sound and properly ordered society the power of the individual entrepreneur can never be unlimited. There is always a higher priority than his interest and that is the national interest. This applies to the head of a great manufacturing or commercial company: his freedom to make his own decisions has to be circumscribed by considerations of public need. And government, whether it may judge rightly or wrongly in such matters, is the only possible arbiter of that need. Where the operations of such companies threaten to jeopardise the welfare of the countries in which they are based, they must be curbed, and it must be government that applies the curbs.

And if this point is valid in respect of industrialists and commercial traders it is especially so in respect of newspaper-owners, and indeed any other entrepreneurs of the mass media. Controllers of mass media networks enjoy enormous power by virtue of their ability to shape public opinion. Any multi-millionaire newspaper baron who owns a large slice of a nation's press may be said to be more powerful than that nation's political leaders — and particularly so when it is borne in mind that he, unlike them, cannot be dismissed from office by popular vote. Considering this, the idea that he should not, like others, be subject to certain curbs and controls is quite preposterous. Who do the barons of the press think they are? Do they place themselves in the category of gods, exempt from ordinary human rules? Do they have a divine right to publish exactly what they please, regardless of its implications for the public good? If they and their hirelings imagine they have such a right, they are assuming privileges that are far beyond the reach of at least 99 per-cent of their fellow citizens.

And yet, such is the humbug of our present

Contd. on next page

opinion-formers, they actually claim that right in the name of 'democracy', the system that is supposed to place power in the hands of 'the people' and decide the great issues in accordance with 'majority' consent!

OPINION-FORMING CLIQUE

What has happened in modern times, in fact, is that mass media operatives over much of the world have formed themselves into a closely-knit clique, almost a mafia, who have assumed the prerogative of dictating what shall be the accepted and standard public view of most of the major national and international issues. Newspaper-owners hire editors whom they can rely upon to articulate that view, and editors in turn surround themselves with writers and reporters of basically the same mind. Where there is a conflict between one of these and his superior, it is usually over some trifling detail of the execution of a policy, not over the fundamentals of the policy itself.

The standard view is of course that of the international liberal establishment, and where South Africa is concerned this establishment is unanimously determined that its present political and social structure will be swept away and white rule replaced by black rule (or at least the trappings of black rule), as has happened throughout the rest of Africa.

Some who are not fully acquainted with the South African internal situation may imagine that the media of that country are different in these respects, reflecting the viewpoint and will of Afrikaner nationalists sympathetic to the ruling system. This is not so. The media in South Africa are predominantly liberal/internationalist-orientated, against the government and in favour of the dismantlement of white rule.

It is of course inevitable that the policies favoured by this opinion-forming mafia of liberalism will come into conflict with the vital interests of the nations amid which it operates. What is extraordinary is not that there have been some in government who have attempted to curb the media but that there have been so few who have sought to do so. Indeed in most Western countries apart from South Africa the ruling politicians have been far too scared of the power of the media to attempt to challenge it; only in South Africa has there been any serious attempt to challenge media power. The South African government has in fact acted to do what the British, American and other governments should long ago have acted to do in their countries: place the power of the nation's elected political leaders above that of its unelected mass-communicators.

CENSORS SCREAM

It was thoroughly predictable that as soon as the South African government made the first moves in this direction the world's media operatives, seeing their special privileges threatened, would scream 'censorship'!

But the reality is that the media operatives

are themselves the biggest practitioners of censorship. They apply it to news and views every day and every week of the year. Every single journalist 'in the know' is aware that this censorship exists and is terrified of offending those hidden forces who operate it.

And the biggest humbug of all is that of the media pundits in countries like Britain who attack the South African government for exercising censorship over news on the grounds that a national emergency exists in which the publication of such news might further inflame the situation. For is it not a fact that precisely the same policy is practised by those controlling the media in this country in respect both of racial minority crime and rioting? It is a scarcely guarded secret that reports of racial disturbances up and down the country in recent years have been deliberately suppressed, or at least greatly played down, on the pretext that this is necessary in order that 'race relations' should not further be inflamed.

THE PRESS COUNCIL

And indeed exactly the same policy has been practised in respect of crime reports. Press reporting in Britain is monitored by the Press Council, headed by the Jew Sir Zelman Cowen. Recently the PC has had occasion to reprimand certain newspapers, notably the *Daily Telegraph*, for mentioning the race of persons convicted in court of serious crimes. According to Sir Zelman, newspaper editors "must accept responsibility for assessing the likely impact on events of their reporting. References to race and colour," he says, "are highly selective and appear to find their way into reports of cases of grosser criminal violence where the persons convicted are black. That is why," he argues, "a majority of the Council remains firmly of the opinion that such irrelevant (*sic*) references should not be made."

What is in effect being said here is that the Press Council, headed itself by a member of a racial minority, has taken it upon itself to decide that references to race in crime reports are "irrelevant", whereas the view of the majority of people in this country would probably be that they are highly relevant, serving as a guide to the wisdom or unwisdom of the policy of making Britain a multi-racial country.

In most instances, however, the interference of the Press Council is unnecessary, as newspaper editors, standing in the shadow of their salary-paying owners, exercise all the censorship that is needed to hush up news of the role of racial minorities in bringing about crime and disorder, as well as other types of news not considered by the media establishment as good for the public to hear. News from South Africa is in fact a case in point, for there cannot be the slightest doubt that all such news is heavily censored by the 'British' media so as to ensure that the picture the public gets of that country is one as unfavourable as possible to its government and its

political and social system. Where, for instance, has the British public been allowed to read or hear that the living standards of non-Whites in South Africa are vastly higher than in any country in Africa where Blacks have taken control? And where has the British public been allowed to hear that there are more motor car-owners among the Blacks of South Africa (numbering about 24 million) than among the whole of the 270 million people of the Soviet Union?

Were there the space here, I could go to far greater length enumerating the cases of media censorship in this country. I ought to know something about this, for I have myself for many years been a victim of it. It exists in the press. It exists in broadcasting. It exists in book- and magazine-publishing. And those who are its most zealous operators are the very liberals who are the loudest in their condemnation of the government of South Africa when it attempts to establish some control of reporting in that country.

I state again the point made earlier: government censorship of the press in South Africa is not only necessary and correct, but it should be extended far beyond South Africa and indeed to every country where the press has got into the hands of forces hostile to the national interest and concerned to use it to divide and subvert the nation. Of course such powers of censorship can be subject to abuse: that scarcely needs saying. But here we are confronted with a choice between the risk of abuse in one sector and the certainty of much greater abuse in another sector. There is not a third alternative.

FANTASY

'Democratic' control of the press and other media have always been, and must always be, a fantasy. The public would have to vote for every newspaper-owner and editor, and every supervisor of TV and radio programmes, for this to have any meaning. And then the public — by which I mean the population as a whole — would have to give its verdict on the permissibility of every report, article and programme that ever appeared in the media under the direction of these elected officials. The proposition is absurd, as anyone of the meanest intelligence will quickly realise. There never in fact has been such a thing as 'press freedom' in the sense of popular control over what is written in newspapers because such a thing is a practical impossibility. The press, and all other media, are bound to be under the direction of minorities. It is just a question of which minority and for what purpose such direction will be exercised.

That that direction should ultimately be exercised by government is a very imperfect solution to the problem. But when we examine every other option we must realise that it is even more imperfect. This is understood in South Africa today. It has been understood in other societies in the past which we are accustomed to calling 'totalitarian'.

Contd. overleaf

THE CALL THE WEST IGNORED

Hungary's helpless uprising of 1956, as remembered by COLIN JORDAN

VERY EARLY in the morning of November 4th 1956, a telex message from the Hungarian News Agency reached the Associated Press office in Vienna. "Urgent, urgent, urgent...Any news about help? Quickly, quickly, quickly!...Please tell Europe." Thus began the 13th day of the Hungarian revolt against communist domination by the Soviet Union.

Just short of a fortnight earlier, on October 23rd, Hungarian Communist Party leader Erno Gero had shown the real nature of the red clenched fist, rejecting a student petition for basic rights and ordering his brutal security police to fire on the procession in support of it. This man, who began life with the name of Ernst Singer, and who was one of the host of Jews in control of key positions in the alien system brought to Hungary by Red Army bayonets at the end of World War II, had thereby succeeded in sparking off what was quickly to develop into a spontaneous uprising of a whole nation.

Two days later Gero's security police shot down more demonstrators, this time in front of the Hungarian parliament building, thereby stimulating the resistance instead of subduing it, and so much so that Soviet tank crews, moving in to finish the job as they thought, found themselves attacked and their tanks disabled with home-made petrol bombs wielded by a populace incensed beyond restraint. That evening of October 25th, a leader of freedom fighters said, expressive of the spirit in the air, to someone at the rear of the American Legation: "We've got about enough ammunition for 2 more days. We know we can't win, but we're going to keep fighting." It is in this way that victories of the soul are won, whatever the defeat of the flesh.

They did indeed keep fighting, not just for 2 more days but for nearly 2 more weeks, as they waited and watched for help to arrive from the West — that vaunted shrine of 'freedom' and 'humanity', with its vociferous throng of high priests of unctuous moralisation. Help never did arrive, and finally, under the onslaught of an overwhelming mass of Soviet tanks and troops, the exhausted freedom fighters were battered either to death or into subjection, and an heroic struggle of the Hungarian people against a foreign tyranny was brought to a bitter end.

In the first telex appeal that I have quoted, and in the final news agency message when no military help had arrived, which message said: "Long live Hungary and Europe. We are going to die for Hungary and Europe." is encapsulated the agony of the West's shameful disregard of the Hungarian struggle to escape the shackles of Soviet slavery of 30

years ago. That betrayal was, however, only in keeping with the preceding betrayal of Hungary and the whole of Eastern Europe a decade or so previously — so that the hope and trust put in the West in 1956 was, for all its poignancy, at the same time pitiful in its disregard for the obvious lesson of the earlier experience, being predictably doomed to disappointment.

WEST SAVES COMMUNISM

Hungarians had on that earlier occasion fought and died not only for Hungary but for all Europe during the years 1941-45 in the gigantic crusade of the Continent against the communist pestilence. Then the West had not merely stood aside, as later in 1956, but had stabbed Europe in the back, allying itself with the satanic Stalin and supplying him with the very means to survive and win, the USA sending him no less than 14,795 planes and 7,056 tanks, among the masses of other items of equipment and supplies, to say nothing of British military aid, as described by Francis Parker Yockey in *The enemy of Europe*.

Not content with thus furnishing the bolshevik brute with the means to withstand invasion and subsequently invade Europe, the renegade West willingly assigned the Eastern half of our Continent to future occupation by the Soviets — and this at a time when, averting a capitulation to communism by the reactionary Horthy regime, the Hungarian national socialists under Ferenc Szalasi had finally come to power in the desperate days of October 1944, as the Red Army battered its way into their country.

In 1956 the treacherous West had Szalasi's Hungary to thank for the fact that the same Red Army, then engaged at that later date in forcing the Hungarian people back into subjection, had not at an earlier date been able to advance that much further as to be able then to force Western Europe into a similar subjection; for it was the continued Hungarian resistance in 1944-45 which engaged a million Soviet troops and months of their time — as part of the titanic defence in the East waged by the Germans and their other European comrades-in-arms against the Western-subsidised Soviet offensive — which prevented the Red Army crossing Germany and reaching the French border before the British and the Americans.

Western democracy completed its wartime alliance with communism by not only handing over the Eastern half of Europe to the Soviets but also giving up to them and their satellite regimes the fighters against communism who had sought refuge in the West in a mistaken belief in the sanctuary afforded by 'democracy'. Thus, along with the forced return to Tito's Yugoslavia of Chetniks, Croats and Slovenes, and the return to the USSR itself of Cossacks and the men of Vlassov's Russian Liberation Army, Hungarian anti-communists in exile were seized by the Americans in whose zone of occupation so many had ended up and handed over to the Soviet occupation authorities in Hungary. Among the latter were Szalasi and all but one of his cabinet, who were, after an obscene mockery of a 'trial', garrotted to death and

Contd. on next page



CRUSHING THE UPRISING
Soviet tanks roll into Budapest, 1956

their bodies secretly disposed of like those of the German victims of the ritual murder enacted by the military tribunal at Nuremberg. With vivid symbolism, the Holy Crown of Hungary, which Szalasi had taken with him into exile for safe keeping, was misappropriated by the Americans when they seized him in Austria, and later presented to the Hungarian communist regime by that potentate of peanuts, American President Carter.

NOTHING BUT SYMPATHY

Just as the perfidious West had during the Second World War professed all manner of high principles and promises, replete with invocations of 'freedom' and 'human rights' as cover for its corrupt cause, so too in its betrayal of Hungary a decade later its misleading mouthpiece, the American 'Radio Free Europe', coupled to the conspiratorial CIA, ceaselessly articulated its syrupy sentiments of support for the freedom fighters of Hungary — even as late as October 31st exhorting them: "Don't hang your rifles on the wall!" — while well aware that nothing was being done and nothing would be done to back up their diurnal barrage of words with anything more lethal or useful. Western democracy is none other than the cousin of Soviet communism and, while the two make a big business of bickering and shadowboxing, it really all amounts only to domestic strife among the kith and kin of crass materialism.

That consortium of chatter, the United Nations Organisation, went through its verbal gyrations and gymnastics as the Soviet shells shook and shattered the strong points of resistance in beleaguered Budapest. On October 27th, Britain, France and the USA mobilised to the extent of submitting a formal request to the United Nations Security Council to consider the Hungarian situation, and this scheme of battle ensued the following day with the USA pontificating that "the hearts of free people everywhere go out to the people of Hungary..." — safe in the belief that nothing more substantial than such sentiment would make the journey to Hungary. By November 3rd the Security Council had got round to the warfare of debate on a resolution deploring the Soviet action in Hungary. Adjourned till the following day, the matter was then referred to the General Assembly for consideration. Meanwhile the exercise had been conveniently overtaken by events. The last messages were coming out of Hungary, and the uprising was virtually crushed.

SUEZ SERVES KRUSCHEV

In the course of all this miserable manoeuvring, what really got both Western democracy and Soviet communism out of their quandary — so much so that it may even be visualised as designed to that very end — was the Suez affair. Prime Minister of Britain at that time was that quintessence of sartorial splendour, Anthony Eden, who as Churchill's foreign secretary had been his crony in waging war on

national socialism in concert with communism, and who before then had been Churchill's accomplice in striving to bring about this conflict. Eden had in this former capacity been instrumental in enforcing to the hilt Stalin's demand for the repatriation of anti-communist exiles. Like his master, Eden was a close associate of Zionism and a zealous functionary in its cause.

In the Suez affair we witness the wheel of Zionist convenience turning full circle. The Soviet Union had played a leading part in bringing about the state of Israel, making possible the crucial vote in the UN General Assembly which led to international recognition of that state; so that, as Dr. Nahum Goldmann, one-time president of the World Jewish Congress, put it, "...without Russia the state of Israel would not exist today." And thereafter: "...The flow of Soviet-bloc weaponry was Israel's lifeline" during its early years, as states Dr. Conor Cruise O'Brien in *The Siege*.



KRUSCHEV
Suez operation played into his hands

Israel in 1956, in the course of its avid programme of expansion, was preparing to strike against Nasser's Egypt, and at the highly opportune moment when the latter had upset Eden by its proprietary attitude to the Suez Canal, a faculty indispensable to Britain's maritime traffic. Israel proceeded to attack Egypt at the precise time the Russian tanks were attacking the people of Hungary — whereupon Britain, in league with France, issued a 12-hour ultimatum to both Egypt and Israel to cease fighting, but then on October 31st attacked only Egypt in enforcement of that ultimatum. The timing of this Anglo-French-Israeli act of aggression meant an effective diversion of attention from Hungary that was most beneficial to Moscow, as David Irving comments in *Uprising*: "For the next four days, the world's vigilance was relaxed from Hungary."

Nikita S. Krushchev, in power in the Kremlin, then rubbed his hands with glee at the help given to him (instead of to the Hungarians) by the West and its Middle East

accomplice, and told a visiting diplomat that the "favourable moment" for Soviet action in Hungary had arrived. Consequently, that same October 31st it was reported that Soviet tanks and troops, which had gone through the motions of withdrawing from Budapest, and even Hungary, in the face of Hungarian resistance, were pouring back into the country and into its capital.

Once again, behind all the differences on the surface, the underlying kinship of interest between communism and capitalism, with its common denominator of Zionist influence, had been dramatically demonstrated. Thus was the Hungarian bid for liberation put down. Most of the leaders of the uprising were hanged. Thousands of its lesser participants were gaoled. The arrests and the trials went on for 2 years.

THE CASE FOR INTERVENTION

Even for those who doubt or deny that there was the degree of deceit and connivance which seems evident in the sequence of events and the extent of coincidence just examined, the dismal failure of the West to do what could have been done and should have been done to help Hungary must incur the strongest censure. As against any suggestion that the West could not, for either moral or physical reasons, risk conflict with the communist bloc over Hungary, we have firstly to remember the readiness with which it found itself taking on the might of national socialist Germany in 'defence' of another East European country, Poland.

We next need to bear in mind that even the infamous Yalta Agreement of February 1945 had, for its propaganda purposes, proclaimed "...the right of all peoples to choose the form of government under which they will live."

Thereby was provided both ample precedent and ample pretext for intervention in defence of Hungary.

After the result of the first Hungarian election of November 1945, which had been contested by a number of parties and had shown a massive rejection of communism, Stalin had sanctioned the counteraction of his nominee for Hungary, the Jew Matyas Rakosi. This veritable monster had returned to Hungary from Russia in late 1944 as Communist Party general secretary in the wake of the Red Army and, backed by this force, proceeded to employ gangster methods of a viciousness which put Al Capone into the shade. All this was in order to browbeat and bludgeon his opponents out of business and in most cases into gaol or the grave, until, by June 1948, he had gained total control of the country. Thereafter Rakosi continued to depend for his position on Soviet military backing, as did his successor Gero — although in February 1947 the peace treaty between Hungary and the Soviet Union had provided for the withdrawal of Soviet troops within 90 days. Thus even by the Yalta rules of the game the West had the right, and with it the obligation, to demand in Hungary, as

Contd. overleaf

THE CALL THE WEST IGNORED

(Contd. from prev. page)

elsewhere in Eastern Europe, an end to the imposition of communism by Soviet force.

The next consideration is that a confrontation then would have found the West in more favourable circumstances than later. Something of a confrontation had indeed occurred when communist North Korea had invaded South Korea in 1950, and the West had then involved itself through a United Nations force dispatched to aid the latter. Something further in the way of confrontation was to occur in 1960, when the USA was to involve itself in military support of South Vietnam against the communist Vietcong, and from 1965 in offensive action itself against the Vietcong.

THE QUESTION OF AUSTRIAN NEUTRALITY

As for the problem of access to Hungary, whose only non-communist neighbour was and is Austria, the democratic and communist allies had forcibly detached that country from Germany, and had forcibly removed and prohibited its national socialist administration, party and creed, regardless of the will of the people in these respects, and had then despotically occupied the country for several years. Eventually the Russians agreed to a termination of this occupation and the withdrawal of their troops in return for an Austrian pledge of total neutrality. Yet neutrality had been no impediment whatever to Churchill during the preceding war when he conspired to violate the neutrality of Norway and Sweden in order to stop supplies of iron ore to Germany, and no doubt, if it had wanted, the West could have readily

mustered plausible enough arguments in favour of a temporary restoration of its occupation of Austria to the extent of enabling the transit of its forces in support of Hungary, even if only to the limited extent of air traffic over Austrian air space.

The fact is that the West has never had any real policy for defence against communism (as distinct from just occasional shadow-boxing against it). Despite all its perpetual posturing, its aim is confined to accommodation and, now and then, containing communism, and it is incapable of providing any real alternative to what is but the other side of the coin of one and the same debasing materialism. Otherwise the West would long before have been actively fostering insurrection and counter-revolution among the numerous subjugated nationalities of the Soviet Empire, both within and beyond the bounds of the USSR; and thus in 1956 it would have been ready and waiting to act on the Hungarian response.

A BATTLE ENDED — BUT THE FIGHT GOES ON

Just a little later on the same day, November 4th 1956, as the news messages quoted earlier, Radio Budapest broadcast, shortly before 8 p.m., a last-minute appeal for Western help by the Hungarian Writers' Union. Seven minutes later the radio went silent. Soviet troops had by then penetrated the studio in the parliament building.

Into power with those troops as new communist boss in place of Gero came Janos Kadar, a smooth survivor who had at the height of the uprising endorsed a promise of free elections and engaged in negotiations with the freedom-fighters. Today, in 1986, Kadar is still in power. There are still no free

elections. There are still 70,000 Soviet troops in the country, providing Kadar with the power base he requires to survive. The passing of these 30 years has, by the beguiling workings of familiarity brought him the mellow designation of "Hungary's Grand Old Man" in the estimation of those many at home and abroad prepared to surrender their sensitivity regarding his system.

Not so the national socialist followers of Ferenc Szalasi who, though scattered across the globe, have maintained their loyalty to him and to his ideas unaltered by time more lengthy than Kadar's long tenure of office. None, anywhere in the world, has greater title than they to their motto *Kitartás*, which in its English translation is the name of their paper *Perseverance*. Thus in a very real sense with them the uprising has never really ended. Hence on the recent anniversary of its outbreak we, their comrades in Britain and throughout the world, saluted these valiant fighters of half a century and more. No better message can conclude this commemoration of the Hungarian uprising of 1956 than the mighty words of its martyred member Kalman Hubay, who said back in 1940: "Our victory will not be when we come to power. Our victory was assured when we looked into ourselves and felt that suffering and even death will be beautiful because we accept them for our people, our brothers, our ideals and our faith."

This article was first published in *PERSEVERANCE*, PO Box 125, Merredin, WA 6415, Australia. It is reproduced here with minor editing, mainly for the purpose of avoiding references which would bring our own editor and the writer within risk of prosecution under Britain's 'race relations' laws.

MOTHER NATURE STRIKES BACK

WILMOT ROBERTSON throws some light on the AIDS menace

UNTIL QUITE recently the gay lifestyle was on the way to becoming an accepted, if not a preferred lifestyle, in these United States.

As with such issues as Israel, minority racism and South Africa no prominent politician or respectable public figure dared dissent from the conventional wisdom.

The rare exceptions, like Anita Bryant, in regard to homosexuality, and Jerry Falwell, in regard to homosexuality and South Africa, were viciously pilloried by the guardians of "public opinion."

But where our leaders have feared to tread Mother Nature has been more than willing to plant her no-nonsense feet. She tolerated homosexuals in the

past, if they did not flaunt and remained, so to speak, in their quarantined closets. Even in the most decadent days of Greece and Rome, she kept her cool.

But tolerance has its limits. If humans wouldn't do anything to stop rampant homosexuality, someone else had to. And that someone else turned out to be Mother Nature herself, who reached into her well-stocked natural-selection arsenal and pulled out a secret weapon called AIDS.

Having exited from the closet en masse, the fruit people were not reluctant to act out their most obnoxious fantasies in public.

Contd. on page 20

WHAT IS BEHIND THE VANUNU AFFAIR?

RONALD RICKCORD discusses a matter that threatens international peace

For historical reasons and more than historical reasons, the state of Israel is not exactly like other states. It can do things which other states cannot do, or cannot do without criticism.

PETER SIMPLE

THE EMBARRASMENTS recently suffered by the British government as a result of its inept handling of the MI5 spy-book case in Australia, and by the United States administration on account of the revelations about its infamous arms deal, have obscured another scandal which has not made quite so many headlines. I refer to the highly suspicious disappearance from a London hotel of Mr. Mordechai Vanunu, and his reappearance some weeks later in an Israeli jail.

The suspicious circumstances surrounding Mr. Vanunu's disappearance are, if anything, made even more suspicious by the theatricality of his recent disclosure as to how and where he was kidnapped. In the message written on the palm of his hand which he displayed to journalists through the window of the vehicle taking him to court in Jerusalem he claimed that he was kidnapped in Rome, thereby implying that he left London of his own free will.

This highly dramatic revelation does not ring true for at least four reasons. Firstly, it seems extremely odd that Vanunu was transported from prison to the court in a transit van rather than the windowless armoured prison vehicle normally used by the Israeli authorities for transporting important political prisoners. Secondly, it seems equally odd that the gates to the court compound were locked and the keys unavailable for several minutes after the vehicle arrived there, thus providing Vanunu with the opportunity to display his message to the journalists gathered outside the court. Thirdly, it is remarkable that so many international journalists happened to be gathered outside the court at that particular time. And fourthly, how strange it is that the British authorities have no record of Vanunu's departure from Britain!

COVER UP

The bizarre events outside the Jerusalem court were almost certainly contrived by the Israeli government to save the British government from being suspected of having been involved in Vanunu's abduction, and also to divert public attention from the activities of Israeli agents operating in this country. But, it will be asked, why should Vanunu participate



VANUNU

Did the British and Israeli governments collaborate over his abduction?

in such a charade? One can only guess; but it is probable that either he has been promised a lenient sentence for co-operating with his captors or, more likely in view of the Israelis' well known predilection for torturing their captives, he may have been 'persuaded' to lend credence to the face-saving story they have concocted and wish the world to believe.

Whatever method was used to put Vanunu behind iron bars in Israel, as the truth behind the Vanunu affair unfolds, it will expose to the public view a grave international menace compared with which the recent clandestine bungs of the British government and the US National Security Council will pale into insignificance. But before it is explained why Vanunu's disappearance from Britain should be regarded as a matter for serious concern it will perhaps be helpful to provide some background details for the benefit of those readers who have not been following the case.

ISRAEL NUCLEAR PROGRAMME

Mordechai Vanunu is a 31-year-old nuclear technician who was employed at the top-secret Israeli nuclear weapons research complex at Dimona in the Negev Desert.

Unlike the European (Khazar) Jews who usurped and now dominate the land that was once known as Palestine, Moroccan-born Mr. Vanunu is an Oriental Jew who apparently feels that he has more in common with the Arabs than with the bloodthirsty overlords of Israel. He is also reported to be very much opposed to the work being done at Dimona, because he fears that it is not in the interests of either the Arabs or the Oriental Jews.

Of course, one has to be careful about claims made by the Israelis, for there is a possibility that Mr. Vanunu himself is an Israeli agent, whose revelations are really intended to warn the Arab world about Israel's nuclear capability. However, from the evidence so far reported, it appears that he is almost certainly a genuine victim of Mossad, the Israeli intelligence service. His disappearance from London bears all the hallmarks of Mossad's methods of dealing with anyone, Jew or Gentile, who dares to question the Zionists' continued occupation of Palestine, or to reveal anything that gives clear proof of the nuclear holocaust that Israel is preparing for its neighbours.

It would appear that, as a result of his pro-Palestinian sympathies and his disapproval of the work being undertaken at Dimona on nuclear weaponry, Mr. Vanunu decided to visit London to give information about the Dimona plant to *The Sunday Times*. In the article published by the paper five days after his disappearance, he revealed that not only had Israel possessed a nuclear capability for the past 20 years but its scientists at Dimona are now busily engaged perfecting a neutron bomb.

MUTED RESPONSE BY BRITISH GOVERNMENT

The British government response to Mr. Vanunu's disappearance from his hotel last September has been somewhat muted compared with the fuss the same government (quite rightly) made two years ago following the attempted kidnapping of the former Nigerian Transport Minister, Mr. Umaru Dikko, who was found drugged in a wooden crate at Stansted airport (an outrage in which Israeli agents were also involved). Although it is true that the Foreign Office requested an explanation of how Mr. Vanunu happened to turn up in Israel after disappearing from London, the government seems rather lamely to have accepted Prime Minister Yitzhak Shamir's denial that any British laws had

Contd. overleaf

WHAT IS BEHIND THE VANUNU AFFAIR?

(Contd. from prev. page)

been contravened, and his insistence that reports that Mr. Vanunu had been kidnapped were "totally without foundation." Mr. Shamir, a former Stern Gang terrorist and Mossad agent himself, is reported to have added: "If we are reserved and we don't say everything, we have reasons for that." You bet they have! Apparently, Sir Robert Armstrong is not the only person who can be 'economical' with the truth.

It is difficult to believe the Israeli government's denials. The overwhelming circumstantial evidence, and the lack of any record of anyone named Vanunu leaving Britain at the material time, and the fact that the Israelis are well known to have no compunction about kidnapping anyone with whom they may wish to settle old scores, makes it highly probable that Israeli agents operating in Britain were responsible for Vanunu's disappearance from London. It is also very significant that Vanunu's lawyer, Mr. Amnon Zichroni, has been officially banned by the Israeli government from discussing any aspect of his arrest and journey to Israel.

BRITISH-ISRAELI COLLUSION?

Even more alarming than Vanunu's actual abduction are the reports made in various quarters that the British and Israeli governments co-operated in order to secure his removal from this country. Reports in *The Daily Telegraph* and *The Observer* claim that on September 21st, following the meeting of the Israeli cabinet at which it was decided to launch the Mossad operation, the then Israeli Prime Minister, Mr. Shimon Peres, telephoned Mrs. Thatcher. The *Daily Telegraph* report stated that:-

"Because of the close working relationship that developed between the two leaders in the past year after their respective visits to London and Jerusalem, Mr. Peres is said to have warned Mrs. Thatcher of the operation.

"The Prime Minister is said to have agreed to tell MI6, British Intelligence, to turn a blind eye to the Israeli action, having been persuaded that Mr. Vanunu must be punished in Israel."

If this report is accurate and collusion between the two leaders did take place, then it means that foreign agents are not only able to operate in this country with impunity but are even permitted to engage in their dirty work with the blessing and connivance of our own Prime Minister. This, I contend, would be a scandal of the first magnitude.

Furthermore, it has been suggested in the media that British intelligence actually informed Mossad of Mr. Vanunu's presence and whereabouts in London. If it can be established that this is the way our government and secret services operate, then quite clearly they need to be reminded that they owe their allegiance solely to Britain and the British people.

ISRAEL A LAW UNTO ITSELF

Disconcerting as all these revelations and suspicions may be, far more serious is Mr. Vanunu's confirmation that the Israelis are indeed producing nuclear weapons at Dimona, including the neutron bomb. Many observers have long suspected that the Israelis have been stockpiling nuclear weapons. Indeed, in an article of mine entitled 'Israel, the bandit state', which was published in *New Frontier* (now named *British Nationalist*) more than 5 years ago, I wrote: "...it is well known that Israel does possess a nuclear capability and has gone to extraordinary lengths to acquire that capability." As an example of Israel's determination to produce nuclear weapons, I referred to the piratical hijacking of a German merchant ship carrying 200 tons of enriched uranium in 1968.

I continued this article by enumerating some of the covert and downright criminal actions undertaken over the years by the Israelis to prevent neighbouring Arab countries similarly acquiring nuclear weapons. I wrote:-

"Not content with developing their own nuclear arsenal, the Israelis have done their utmost to prevent Iraq developing her nuclear power plant at Osirak. In 1979 two nuclear reactors being built for the Osirak plant by a French firm in Toulon were destroyed by the Israeli Mossad terrorist group, and in June last year an Egyptian nuclear physicist, Professor Yahia El Meshad, who was helping to develop Iraq's nuclear industry, was stabbed to death in Paris, almost certainly by Mossad agents."

The Israeli air force subsequently destroyed the Osirak power station in a callous and flagrant disregard for the principles of international law. The failure of Western leaders to take any effective action against Israel following that outrage confirms the words written by Peter Simple in the *Daily Telegraph's* 'Way of the World' column, which I quoted at the beginning of this article. The attack on the Osirak plant was all the more disgraceful when one realises that, whereas Iraq is a signatory of the Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty and allows its plant to be regularly inspected by the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA), Israel has consistently refused to sign the Treaty or to allow its Dimona plant to be inspected.

FANNING THE FLAMES OF THE GULF WAR

Over the years the Israelis have been at pains to prevent Iraq becoming a threat to themselves, and to this end they have done everything in their power to fan the flames of the Gulf War that is currently being fought between Iraq and Iran. The recent arms scandal that has rocked America has provided conclusive evidence that there has been collusion between the Reagan administration and the Israeli government to provide arms to both sides in that conflict. It would appear that the powerful Zionist lobby in Washington expects President Reagan to do far more than occasionally wear a yarmulka as the price he has to pay to secure the release of US

hostages in Lebanon (and indeed to remain president). It also appears that the Archbishop of Canterbury's 'special envoy', the ubiquitous Terry Waite, is little more than a convenient means for diverting public attention away from the real skulduggery that is going on behind the scenes in order to obtain the hostages' freedom.

ISRAEL'S AIM

It is quite obvious why the Israelis are so anxious to manufacture the neutron bomb. Having established themselves in Arab territory by force of arms, they not surprisingly find themselves surrounded and outnumbered

**BIG FRIEND
OF ISRAEL**
Mrs. Thatcher
manages a phony
tear when visiting
the 'holocaust'
monument in
Jerusalem last
year



by people who regard them with great hostility and naturally resent their unwelcome presence. They realise that to use conventional nuclear weapons against the Arabs in a time of crisis would endanger themselves, owing to the proximity of the Arab states to Israel's frontiers; the destructive force and radioactive fall-out of hydrogen and cobalt devices would in all probability inflict as much devastation on Israel itself as on the countries at which they were aimed. It is for this reason that the Israelis consider it imperative to produce a weapon whose destructive force can be limited to within a few miles of the target area. Possession of the neutron bomb would provide them with just such a weapon.

But what is so alarming is the possibility that one or more of the Arab states may also acquire a nuclear capability before the Israelis have established their own nuclear hegemony in the Middle East. If that were to happen, the sense of security the Israelis currently enjoy would vanish, thus increasing the tension in what is already a highly volatile situation.

Therein lies the danger; for, if the Israelis felt sufficiently threatened, or in face of defeat, they would have no compunction about unleashing a nuclear holocaust, even at the possible cost of obliterating themselves. They are well aware that, even if every Israeli perished in such a conflagration, the Jewish race would not disappear from the face of the earth, since there are so many of them residing overseas. Zionists and their supporters played a significant part in starting World War II. It is possible that by their Machiavellian scheming they will sooner or later succeed in plunging us into a Third World War. That is why the revelations of Mordechai Vanunu are so important to us all. And that is why we cannot allow the probability that he was abducted from Britain to pass unnoticed.

THE TRUTH ABOUT NELSON MANDELA AND THE A.N.C.

NOEL A. HUNT puts the darlings of the British Government and liberal opinion under the microscope

"You can trust a communist — to be a communist."

DR. FRED SCHWARTZ

THE BRITISH GOVERNMENT, busily minding South Africa's business for her, is insistent that Nelson Mandela be released from prison "To take part in negotiations." It is usually stipulated that Mandela shall "renounce violence" as a pre-condition of his release. It seems likely that if Mandela stands firm he will eventually find that this pre-condition quietly dropped. It is perhaps worth asking ourselves just what such a renunciation of violence by Mandela would be worth. Indeed how much does the British public know about the record of the man who is in such high favour with our own Government and to whom local authorities in this country pay tribute by building statues and naming streets and parks after him?

A picture has carefully been built up of Mandela as a great friend of mankind and of 'freedom' and 'democracy', whatever these phrases may mean. We gain the impression that this saintly figure was arbitrarily imprisoned by a brutal tyranny. The idea is skilfully put about that his offence was nothing more serious than the expression of dissent from South African Government policies.

Nelson Mandela is in fact a trained, dedicated and articulate communist. He is serving a life sentence for the crime of treason, a sentence imposed on him after a very full and fair trial. The organisation to which Mandela belongs, the African National Congress, is a communist body. The crime which led to Mandela's imprisonment was an attempt, accompanied by violence and several deaths, to overthrow by force the lawful government of South Africa.

NO LIBERAL

Mandela does not view the world with a wide, soft, liberal smile and a heart full of love for 'mankind'. Quite the contrary. At his trial he said: "I have dedicated my life to end white domination. It is an idea I hope to live to see realised. It is also an idea for which I am prepared to die." Fair enough, the man is entitled to his views. It will be observed that he seeks to bring about change in South Africa, not because that country is capitalistic, not because it is 'undemocratic', not because it is 'oppressive', but simply because it is **white**. In the eyes of Mandela, nothing at

all weighs as heavily in the scale as this one fact: those who control South Africa are white. It is odd, is it not, that none of our politicians, professional talkers or 'opinion-formers' ever tell us of Mandela's words on this subject. Can it be that they do not find them at all disturbing? Do they hate their own race as much as Mandela hates it? It sometimes seems so.

For good measure, let us note that the communist African National Congress is a member of the Organisation of African Unity. An extract from the published OAU programme may be instructive. This programme says in part: "We cannot compromise with any white government, extreme or liberal, or agree to multi-racial nonsense. We are determined to destroy all traces of white civilisation. The rivers of the South are to run red with the blood of the white tyrants and of their children."

It will be noted that the OAU objection is not to a form of government, or to the acts of that government, but simply and entirely to the fact that it is white. Liberal lovers of "the world community" and "mankind" should note with interest the contemptuous dismissal of one of their most cherished shibboleths, multi-racialism as "nonsense." Indeed it is nonsense of course, but how seldom does one see it thus accurately described? It is plain that the OAU, the ANC and Mandela himself do not intend to build a multi-racial society in South Africa; they intend to create a black state that shall be known as 'Azania' and in the process to butcher the Whites because

they are white. Once again, it seems odd that none of those infinitely knowledgeable and patronising people who tell us what we should think and do has drawn our attention to this extract from the OAU programme of action. Obviously it is known, by reason of their professional interest, to politicians and a wide range of writers and journalists. Can it be that the prospect of genocide by the ANC and the OAU leaves these people unmoved, if not approving?

WORD WORTH NOTHING

But if Mandela agreed to "renounce violence" as a precondition of his release what would that renunciation be worth? Perhaps a glance at what Lenin had to say may assist in forming an opinion on this point. In his book *The state and revolution*, he wrote: "The strictest loyalty to the ideas of communism must be combined with the ability to take all necessary practical compromises, to 'tack', to to make agreements, zig-zags, retreats and so on, in order to accelerate the coming into power..." In the same book Lenin also wrote: "The supersession of the bourgeois state by the proletarian state is impossible without a violent revolution." For good measure, let us also note his famous dictum that "Promises are like pie-crusts, made to be broken. Truth is a weapon in the class war: any statement that advances communism is true."

Stalin was in full agreement with Lenin. He is on record as having said: "A diplomat's words must have no relation to action — otherwise what kind of diplomacy is it? Words are one thing, actions another. Good words are a mask for the concealment of bad deeds. Sincere diplomacy is no more possible than dry water or iron wood."

None of this is to say that Lenin or Stalin would have approved of the remarks made by Mandela or the OAU in its programme of action. They would have deprecated such unnecessary frankness.

Once again, we must note that it is strange that none of those who take upon themselves our instruction and guidance ever see fit to draw attention to such extracts as these. They are part of the professional knowledge of thousands in politics and the media — or at least should be. Yet we are never warned of the futility of negotiating with communists.

On the evidence, it would seem that any renunciation of violence by Mandela would



MANDELA
Darling of the world's liberals

Contd. overleaf

THE TRUTH ABOUT NELSON MANDELA AND THE A.N.C.

(Contd. from prev. page)

be worthless. Marxist-Leninist doctrine lays it down that such promises are useful only to the extent to which they advance communism. Mandela is a self-confessed communist. He is dedicated to the destruction of white rule in South Africa — not unjust rule, not oppressive rule, not capitalistic rule, just white rule. When it suits Mandela, he will — in fact as a communist he must — break any promise he makes the moment it suits him to do so.

In his *Politics of surrender*, M. Stanton Evans points out that both Marx and Lenin preached that there were no fixed standards of morality; all ethical views, they maintained, were the result of economic circumstances. The only real test of right and wrong was what helped or hindered the triumph of communist revolution. Stanton Evans goes on to add: "Although many features of Marxism-Leninism have undergone tactical and other alterations, this particular communist doctrine has been faithfully adhered to. Most relevant to our immediate subject, they have never hesitated to enter into any agreement, or to break any, when either of these actions suited their purposes."

DO BRITAIN AND THE U.S.A. WANT A COMMUNIST SOUTH AFRICA?

The British and American Governments, their expert advisers and many politicians and media workers in both countries know that what Mr. Evans says is true. Yet they continue to insist that Nelson Mandela, and thus the communist ANC, be involved in any

negotiations with the South African Government. It is hard indeed not to conclude that what is being sought is not a multi-racial South Africa but a Marxist-Leninist Azania, and that both the British and American Governments are fully in sympathy with that objective.

Of course it may not be necessary for Mandela to "renounce violence". With the British and American Governments so anxious to destroy South Africa, it is likely, as I have suggested earlier, that this stipulation will eventually be dropped. Indeed it is probably only maintained today as a sop to public opinion in both this country and the

OUT OF THEIR OWN MOUTHS

"We cannot compromise with any white government, extreme or liberal, or agree to multi-racial nonsense. We are determined to destroy all traces of white civilisation. The rivers of the South are to run red with the blood of the white tyrants and of their children."

**PROGRAMME OF ACTION,
ORGANISATION FOR
AFRICAN UNITY**

US. Either way, once Mandela is released and in "negotiation", it is only a question of time before South Africa becomes, not a "multi-

racial" state, but a fully fledged black racist one, as is made clear as an objective by the OAU in its programme.

Various things will then happen, none of them favourable to the West. The West will lose almost the only firm friend it has left in the world and certainly the only one left in Africa. The incalculable mineral wealth of South Africa will come under the control of our enemies. Finally, and most important, the



FRUITS OF MANDELA'S WORK
Erring Black gets 'necklace' treatment

loss of the vital naval base at Simonstown means that the communist bloc can cut the world in two and hold the West to ransom.

Meanwhile millions of people will continue to believe that all this happened because of high-minded aversion to racial separation. Never will we be allowed to learn that it happened because a great deal of money was allied with first-class (though evil) brains to ensure that it would happen. With the brand-new Azania part of the United Nations and of the communist bloc, the way will be clear for the attack on Australia. When this succeeds, Lenin's dream of containing the white race inside Europe will have been realised.

Meeting terrorists: the Government's double-standard

READERS of Mr. Hunt's article may be interested to know the outcome of correspondence taking place between our Editor John Tyndall in his capacity of Leader of the British National Party and the Foreign Secretary last year following news that the latter had consented to a meeting with Mr. Oliver Tambo of the African National Congress. Mr. Tyndall drew attention to the previous declaration of the Government that it would not sanction meetings with the Palestine Liberation Organisation on the grounds that that body had not renounced terrorism as a political weapon. How, Mr. Tyndall asked, did the Government reconcile this with its more recent decision to engage in talks with the ANC?

We reprint Mr. Tyndall's letter to the Foreign Secretary and the Foreign Office's reply. It will be noted that the reply relies on a Foreign Office circular stating Government policy towards South Africa. The relevant section of

this circular states that ministerial contact has been established with the ANC leadership "in the course of which we impressed upon the ANC our view that a suspension of violence ON ALL SIDES is essential to the creation of a climate in which dialogue and negotiation can become possible."

This statement invites two comments. The first is the Government could equally well have met the representatives of the PLO on exactly the same basis, making the same stipulation, but did not. The second is in the form of a question: what is meant by "violence ON ALL SIDES"? We are not aware of any violence practised by the South African Government, only the perfectly lawful use of necessary force to put down disorder and insurrection. Is this what the Foreign Office means by "violence ON ALL SIDES"? If so, it is a debasement of the English language.

Contd. on next page

The Rt. Hon. Sir Geoffrey Howe, MP
Foreign Secretary
The Foreign Office
London S.W.1

Dear Sir Geoffrey,

A few months ago a decision was made by the Foreign Office not to meet representatives of the Palestine Liberation Organisation on the grounds that that organisation (the PLO) had not renounced violence and terrorism as a political weapon.

The decision has now been made by the Foreign Office to meet Mr. Oliver Tamblo, representative of the African National Congress, despite the fact that organisation (the ANC) has likewise not renounced violence and terrorism as a political weapon.

Could you please explain this inconsistency?

Yours sincerely
JOHN TYNDALL
Chairman, BNP

Mr. J. Tyndall
British National Party
PO Box 446
London SE23 2LS

Dear Correspondent,

Thank you for your recent letter about the Government's policy towards South Africa. We regret very much that in the face of the large number of letters we are now receiving, it is simply not possible to send out individual replies. I hope that you will understand the reasons for this, and that you will find the enclosed paper a useful guide to the basic principles and aims of British policy on South Africa.

Yours sincerely
W.K. PRENDERGAST
South African Department

THE REALITY BEHIND 'PRESS FREEDOM'

(Contd. from page 5)

But in fact all power over what is printed and broadcast is in effect totalitarian in as much as it reflects the will of some agency not answerable to the majority of the populace in the sense generally understood in liberal-democratic language.

Nowhere in the world is media power exercised by the common people, therefore nowhere in the world is it 'democratic'. Over almost all of the Western world it is exercised by self-chosen 'elites', responsible only to themselves. In South Africa it appears to be the case — though with what seriousness and resolution we have yet to ascertain — that there is an intention to subject media power to supervision by the country's political leadership. If that be in fact the intention, it is no more than the situation demands. I would applaud the same practice in this country — were we to have political leadership fit for the task.

Meetings with the ANC

The Government condemns violence whoever perpetrates it:

- We acknowledge that the ANC is an important focus for black opinion in South Africa;
- We have established Ministerial level contact with the ANC leadership in the course of which we impressed upon the ANC our view that a suspension of violence on all sides is essential to the creation of a climate in which dialogue and negotiation can become possible.

The relevant section of the Foreign Office circular on policy towards South Africa

Lloyd George on Hitler

IMPRESSIONS OF 50 YEARS AGO, AS RECORDED IN THE DAILY TELEGRAPH

50 years ago last September, former Prime Minister Lloyd George visited Germany to take a look at Hitler and National Socialism. His visit was reported in the DAILY TELEGRAPH of September 22nd 1936. The report quoted him as follows:-

"Germany does not want war, but is afraid of an attack by Russia.

"I have never seen a happier people than the Germans.

"Hitler is one of the greatest men I have ever met.

"Everywhere I found a deep respect for Great Britain, and a desire to remain on friendly terms.

"I am fully convinced that the German people today earnestly desire peace, not merely because they have had enough of war and its horrors and its shattering effect upon their commerce, but because they are very anxious to concentrate upon the economic restoration and development of their country.

"Undoubtedly Germany fears an attack by Russia, and, in the same way, Russia fears an attack by Germany, and I believe that the fear in each case is quite genuine. Germany too is very suspicious of the Franco-Russian Pact."

Asked how he reconciled Germany's desire for peace with the recent attacks on the Soviets, he replied:-

"How do you reconcile Russia's professed desire for peace with her years of attacks on Germany? The fact is that they have been abusing one another like pickpockets for years. It has been a sort of slanging match, but I think that today people are rather apt to overlook what is said over the Soviet radio, and to pay attention only to German attacks on Russia.

"No, Germany does not want war. Hitler does not want war. He is a most remarkable personality, one of the greatest I have ever met in my whole life, and I have met some very great men.

"Affection is a quite inadequate word to describe the attitude of the German people towards Hitler. It amounts almost to worship. I have never seen anything like it."

Mr. Lloyd George was asked: "How do you reconcile that attitude towards Hitler with the suppression of the trade unions and the free expression of opinion?"

"I cannot explain it. I am merely stating the facts, but you must remember that the Germans are a highly disciplined people, and have always been so. "They are far more accustomed to discipline than we are, and I think that the restrictions in existence in Germany at the present time would have a far greater effect upon people of this country than upon Germany.

"I have always thought, and still think, that the persecution of Jews in Germany has been a great misfortune. But Germany is not the only country that has persecuted Jews. We must not forget the pogroms in Russia and in other European countries."

Giving his impression of the German people of today, he said:-

"I have never seen a happier people. The feeling of depression and gloom which has oppressed them in post-war years has completely disappeared.

"They are today a very gay people. That is not merely my own opinion. Since I have returned from Germany I have had letters from Englishmen who have been in the habit of visiting Germany on business or on holiday, and they all confirm my own view.

"One of the foremost impressions which I derived from my visit was the universal desire to remain on terms of closest friendship with Great Britain. I found that among everyone I met, from Hitler down to the working men with whom I spoke.

"Everywhere Britain is held in the deepest respect, and there is a profound desire that the tragic circumstances of 1914 should never be repeated."

OLD GANG PARTIES PUSH THROUGH SOVEREIGNTY BETRAYAL

MALVERN TIPPING looks at the European Communities (Amendment) Bill

BRITISH NATIONALISTS have for long maintained that when it comes to betraying Britain's sovereignty the established parties are at one in their resolve. All are prepared to place allegiance to outside interests above their allegiance to this country. The most recent example of this is the European Communities (Amendment) Bill, recently passed through Parliament.

While at the major party conferences last Autumn attention was focussed upon such red herrings as Labour's revamping of nationalisation under the guise of 'social ownership' and the Tories' blind fanaticism to privatise everything which moves, together with the spectacle of the infantile squabbling within and between the Alliance parties, absolutely nothing was said about the Bill. Indeed there would seem to have been a sinister conspiracy of silence among the established parties on this momentous issue. This conspiracy looks also to have extended to most of the mass media.

The intention of the Bill is to ratify and incorporate into British law a set of agreements known as the Single European Act. These are designed to expropriate the British Parliament of its sovereignty and to transport it effectively across the Channel. Furthermore, under the Bill British citizens will no longer have the right to be tried before a British court under British law. Meanwhile the power of the British Government to determine British domestic and foreign policy will be subjected to over-riding and binding decisions of the EEC's Council of Ministers.

PREDICTIONS PROVED RIGHT

These proposals confirm what nationalists predicted at the time of the Common Market referendum in 1975, when they said that the promises of the pro-marketeters concerning the retention of British sovereignty were lies. The Wilson Government's referendum pamphlet stated: "No important new policy can be decided in Brussels or anywhere else without the consent of a British Minister answerable to a British Government and a British Parliament." The facility for such British consent, namely Britain's veto in the Council of Ministers, is to be abolished by the recent Bill. Similarly, the Bill will gradually transfer legislative power to the European Assembly in which Britain will have a mere



SOVEREIGNTY CONFLICT
Will Britain in the future be ruled by her own Parliament (above) or from the EEC Headquarters in Brussels (right)?

81 members out of 518. Thus the pro-marketeters' statement that British sovereignty would be preserved through the Council of Ministers can now be seen as a lie. Britain will be entirely subject to the decisions of the rest of the European Community, irrespective of whether or not those decisions are in Britain's interest.

At the time of the 1975 referendum, the pro-marketeters, through their mouthpiece, the Britain in Europe campaign, promised: "English law is not affected. For a few commercial and industrial purposes there is a need for Community law. But our criminal law, trial by jury, presumption of innocence remain unaltered. So do our civil rights." It is now open for all Britons to view this statement, which was endorsed by such eminences as Roy Jenkins, Jo Grimond, Edward Heath, William Whitelaw and Shirley Williams, for the cynical deception that it was.

UNCONSTITUTIONAL


The Bill, making the treasonable agreements known as the Single European Act law, was rushed through the Commons by the Government, with the collusion of the 'Opposition' parties, by means of a ruthless and unconstitutional guillotine procedure. The establishment press engaged meanwhile in what was almost a conspiracy of silence in collaboration with the politicians.



All that stood in the way of this Bill on its way to the Statute Book was a handful of peers courageously led by Lord Denning, who has a long record of defending traditional values and freedoms, together with the Common Law, in his former capacity as a distinguished judge. It is a sad reflection of the depths to which British politics have sunk that the only eminent voice in official quarters against this betrayal of national sovereignty was that of an octogenarian peer.

It is now up to the British National Party to ensure that his Lordship's battle was not in vain, and to bring this issue to the forefront of public debate, vigorously campaigning for the repeal of the European Communities Act, as indeed for Britain's eventual exit from the EEC.

This is an undertaking and duty in which we cannot afford to fail.



SIR: The December issue came as water to a caravan in the desert. It was particularly refreshing to read your account of the events leading up to the July trial and subsequent life in a 'liberal democracy' jail. It sounds as if the terrorists of the IRA who maim and murder are given a far easier time in their Long Kesh hotel. Why, they even get leave to go home for various events, from which many skip custody. Here we see another example of how the system differentiates between red, black and international terrorists on the one hand and British patriots on the other.

Your words of advice will not, I am certain, be lost on those of us who wage war against the multi-racial system imposed on us by the manipulators of the Westminster puppet show.

The subject of the letter from Dr. Bridgewater bears further exploration. I have long thought we should have some stickers and leaflets about South Africa. That country is in the front line of defence against the combined assault by political Zionism and the continued Soviet communist/Western 'democratic' alliance against independent white nations. Even though the Botha Government has made many concessions to its foes and now speaks of working for 'multi-racialism', still the massive onslaught will go on. Talk of the International Brigade in Spain lately makes me think of the desirability of co-nationalist units from around the world to be ready to fight shoulder-to-shoulder with the Whites in South Africa should the balloon go up.

Could I suggest that every active unit of the party set aside funds on a regular basis to go to the three party funds we all need to support.

One dissenting point: Could I ask you to cease printing stupid and ignorant letters from those who oppose us. It wastes valuable space and says nothing new. How often do our opponents' political mags and papers publish abusive or even critical letters? Never. So let's observe the same rule.

N.S. WHITE
Cardiff

Sir: Taking up the theme dealt with by Dr. Bridgewater last month concerning a counter-offensive against the anti-South African programme, I hope that by now no British nationalist still has an account with Barclays Bank following the bank's gutless surrender

to the anti-South Africa brigade and its withdrawal from all business in that country.

Actually, like many things in this world, Barclays' withdrawal from South Africa is not quite what it seems. All that the bank has actually done is sell its shareholdings to certain major South African financial institutions — at a bargain price to the latter — so that what was Barclays South Africa has now become an all-South African bank! Neither the employees nor the ordinary South African will be any the worse off.

Most of the big multi-nationals that have withdrawn from South Africa with a great song and dance have done so on a similar basis, the only major exception being Kodak, who have also forbidden their photographic products to be exported to South Africa, so that South Africans will now have to undergo the terrible trauma of buying such products from other suppliers! These suppliers will no doubt be laughing all the way to the bank and giving thanks to Kodak for the extra business. Some of the staff previously employed by Kodak will now be unemployed. I wonder what they think of the policy of sanctions?

Actually, this whole business is of benefit to South Africa for it will give the South Africans greater control of their own economy.

H.S. HALL

Bury St. Edmunds, Suffolk

SIR: The reappearance of *Spearhead* is a most welcome Yule present. I note that you start with a discussion of AIDS in the 'What We Think' column. If you would like to know how to protect yourself against AIDS, I can tell you. Just sit down and keep your mouth shut.

As for the tyranny under which you were imprisoned, it will grow just as severe and oppressive as the establishments in Britain, the US and elsewhere think necessary, with new 18b-type laws, gulags and blood in the streets. There is a secret and merciless war of

genocide against not only the British but all Northern Europeans and their overseas outposts. The only question remaining is whether we still have people with the manhood to fight it or whether we have become a race of eunuchs, oafs, morons and pansies.

(Dr.) PETER H. PEEL
Reseda, Calif., USA

SIR: What grieves me is that our own people represent the world's biggest suckers for a conspiracy. The British, the Anglo-Saxons, appear to have a blind spot, a null in their cranial electricity. Time and time again I witness them being twisted about in television interviews. I don't differentiate for a moment between our group no matter where it happens to be living.

Obviously they need schooling of a different nature. That which they are given in the existing schools is entirely inadequate. Jewish [redacted], Jewish [redacted], etc., go right over their heads. It makes one flush at times to be reminded that he belongs to the same ethnic group as these nitwits.

ELMER T. CANAVIN
San Diego, Calif., USA

EDITOR'S NOTE: Certain passages of the above letter have been deleted by our own self-imposed censorship as they would run us the risk of being prosecuted for publishing words unfavourable to a certain ethnic group.

SIR: Congratulations on your forthright comment last month on the AIDS threat. It needed to be said.

You will no doubt be aware of the Tory Councillor in the Midlands who said that all these AIDS carriers should be put in the gas chamber. I am sure he said what a great many people are thinking!

T.L. MURDOCH
St. Andrews, Fife

How to obtain SPEARHEAD

Spearhead is available from our office to those who wish to ensure to obtain copies for themselves every month and to those who wish to obtain quantities for redistribution.

Those wishing for copies for themselves each month are recommended to take out a subscription by filling in the form below and sending it to us with a cheque or postal order for the applicable sum.

NAME.....

ADDRESS.....

The following rates are for 12 issues (please tick in box where applicable):-

British Isles: £8.00 ☐ Overseas unsealed surface mail: £9.50 ☐ Overseas sealed surface mail: £10.85 ☐ Unsealed air mail Middle East: £11.80 ☐ Unsealed air mail Canada, USA, Latin America, Africa: £13.40 ☐ Unsealed air mail Australia, New Zealand, Far East: £14.30 ☐

Please note: These overseas rates apply if remittance is in Pounds Sterling; if remittance is in overseas currency, an additional charge of £5 is required in the case of cheques and money orders and £1 in the case of banknotes, these going to pay banker's commission.

Discounts for bulk purchases can be obtained as follows:-

10-19 copies: 40p each; 20-49 copies: 36p each; 50-99 copies: 30p each; 100-199 copies: 26p each; 200-499 copies: 22p each; 500 copies or over: 20p each. (For advice on postal rates, please contact our office).

All cheques and postal orders should be made out to *Spearhead* and sent to: PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS. Please do not include a payment for *Spearhead* as part of a cheque including payment for any other item.

BOOKS! BOOKS! BOOKS!

BNP Book Service
P.O.Box 446
London SE23 2LS

PLEASE READ THIS CAREFULLY!

In view of recent convictions secured against certain party personnel under existing race laws, and in view of new laws shortly to come into force, it will be necessary as from about the Spring of this year to withdraw from circulation certain books now being sold by our service.

The details of these books will be announced later. Between now and then all persons wishing to buy books who are not members of the British National Party will require to register as members of the BNP Book Club, for which a fee of 50p a year will be payable.

THE NEW UNHAPPY LORDS (A.K. Chesterton) £3.00 (52p). An exposure of the financial power that seeks to dragoon mankind into a global police state. 1972, 255pp.

THE CAMP OF THE SAINTS (Jean Raspail) £2.40 (98p). A sensational novel about the destruction of the white world by Asian immigrant invasion. 1973, 311pp.

THE BIOLOGY OF THE RACE PROBLEM (Prof. W.C. George) £1.00 (22p). The race equality hoax destroyed by an academic expert. 70pp.

DID SIX MILLION REALLY DIE? (Richard Harwood) 50p (12p). The fact-filled pamphlet that refutes the Holocaust legends and evoked Zionist frenzy. 28pp.

THE HOAX OF THE TWENTIETH CENTURY (Prof. A.R. Butz) £2.40 (59p). A scientist applies clinical methods to an investigation of the 'Holocaust' and completely dissects the myth. 2nd edition 1977, 315pp.

THE MONEY BOMB (James Gibb Stuart) £3.95 (34p). Discusses the failure of our debt-based financial system and describes its role in creating inflation. 1983, 158pp.

RACE, INTELLIGENCE AND EDUCATION, 30p (13p). A reply to the notorious NUT race-mixing booklet, packed with facts and cogent argument. 1979, 20pp.

RACE AND POLITICS (H.B. Isherwood) 30p (12p). Shows that a sense of racial identity is an essential element of nationhood. 1974, 36pp.

RELIGION AND THE RACIAL CONTROVERSY (H.B. Isherwood) 30p (12p). Shows that racial integration is not ordained by Christianity but that the reverse is true. 1970, 16pp.

THE LEMMING FOLK (James Stuart Gibb) £7.95 (66p). A witty and factual examination of current attitudes and intellectual fashion that are threatening our future. 1980, 246pp.

THE GRAND DESIGN (Douglas Reed) £2.00 (18p). A behind-the-scenes look at modern world history. 1977, 45pp.

THE DISPOSSESSED MAJORITY (Wilmot Robertson) £7.50 (£1.82). This book has attracted worldwide attention, dealing as it does with racial problems in America — and not simply the black-white situation. 2nd edition 1981, 613pp.

RACE AND REALITY (Carleton Putnam) £4.00 (40p). A companion volume and supplement to *Race and Reason*, showing how the truth about the race issue has been suppressed by interested parties. 1967 (rep. 1977), 192pp.

VENTILATIONS (Wilmot Robertson) £4.50 (34p). The sequel to the same author's widely acclaimed *Dispossessed Majority*. Revised edition 1982, 113pp.

THE GLOBAL MANIPULATORS (Robert Eringer) £2.50 (34p). While the author repudiates any conspiratorial view of world events, he still provides a comprehensive exposure of the organisation and influence of the Bilderberg Group and the Trilateral Commission. 1980, 95pp.

PEACE STUDIES IN OUR SCHOOLS — PROPAGANDA FOR DEFENCELESSNESS (John Marks) £2.95 (40p). An important book on a major current educational scandal: the indoctrination of schoolchildren by pacifist and unilateralist 'educationalists'. This topical and factual study makes it plain that we are not simply confronted with odd teachers here and there abusing their positions but a whole network of organisations and institutions, some publicly funded, co-ordinating their attack on young people's minds. 1984, 63pp.

THE ZIONIST CONNECTION (Alfred M. Lilien-

Here is the latest list of our books. The figures in brackets represent packing and postage charges. All orders with cash please. Cheques should be made out to BNP Book Service.

thal) £13.00 (£1.82). The second edition of a sensational exposure by a non-Zionist Jew of Zionist power politics. 1982, 904pp.

TREASON AT WESTMINSTER (Dr. Kitty Little) 50p (12p). Text of a memorandum to the Royal Commission on Criminal Procedure entitled: *Infiltration of the government by members of subversive or criminal organisations for the purpose of furthering the interests of those organisations.* 1979, 24pp.

A WORLD COUP D'ETAT IS PLANNED (Dr. Kitty Little) 50p (12p). Updates the above, giving details of the destructive effects of treaties foisted on Britain. 1984, 66pp.

THE COLLAPSE OF BRITISH POWER (Correlli Barnett) £8.95 (£1.41). A welcome reissue of a vitally important book first published in 1972. Shows how liberalism and lack of political realism brought about Britain's 20th century decline in the political, industrial and military fields. 643pp.

THE ZUNDEL TRIAL AND FREE SPEECH (Doug Christie) £2.25 (18p). The defence counsel's address to the jury in the infamous trial of Ernst Zundel for daring to question the Holocaust myth. A ringing defence of free enquiry and free speech. 1985, 32pp.

F.D.R. — THE OTHER SIDE OF THE COIN (Hamilton Fish) £5.00 (52p). An exposure of Roosevelt's war guilt and trickery by a former associate. 1976, 255pp.

RACE AND REASON (Carleton Putnam) £4.00 (40p). A plain, unemotional account of the race issue that has been an invaluable contribution to the debate ever since it was first published. 1961 (rep. 1977), 125pp.

STATE SECRETS (Count Leon de Poncins) £3.50 (59p). A study of some little known state documents that throw much light on recent history. 1975, 191pp.

CENSORED HISTORY (Eric Butler) £1.50 (18p). An examination of some of the facts of recent history that have not found their way into textbooks and newspapers. 1974, 48pp.

THE CONTROVERSY OF ZION (Douglas Reed) £9.00 (£1.41). A best-seller in nationalist circles ever since its publication. A study of Jewish-Gentile relations since biblical times, packed with little-known and long-suppressed facts. 1979, 580pp.

THE NAMELESS WAR (Capt. A.H.M. Ramsay) £2.50 (34p). An outline of the secret history of the events leading up to the Second World War, including information on previous upheavals. The author had the honour of being imprisoned without trial for four years during the war, despite his status as a member of parliament. Originally published 1952, 128pp.

NATURE'S ETERNAL RELIGION (Ben Klassen) £6.70 (98p). A controversial and hard-hitting book outlining the author's ideal of a new religion based on the right to survival of the white race. The author in presenting his ideas on this matter makes it plain who represents the threat to white survival. 1973, 508pp.

THE WHITE MAN'S BIBLE (Ben Klassen) £6.70 (98p). A companion volume to the same author's *Nature's Eternal Religion* in which he expands his concept of Creativity as the future religion of the white race. 1981, 451pp.

EXPANDING CREATIVITY (Ben Klassen) £4.20 (52p). A selection of the best articles written by the author in his paper *Racial Loyalty*. 1985, 255pp.

BUILDING A WHITER AND BRIGHTER WORLD (Ben Klassen) £4.20 (52p). A follow-on from *Expanding Creativity*, containing a further selection of articles from later issues of *Racial Loyalty*. 1985, 270pp.

We should perhaps make it plain that the above books by Ben Klassen are strongly critical of the Christian religion and that the many Christians we are glad to number among our customers will find much in these books to disagree.

THE SIX MILLION RECONSIDERED (W. Grimstad) £2.50 (66p). Examines not only the 'Holocaust' but other topics involved in the Jewish Question, including the exploitation of the 'anti-semitic' smear. 1977, 170pp.

NUREMBERG AND OTHER WAR CRIMES TRIALS: A NEW LOOK (Richard Harwood) 75p (34p). A scholarly exposure of this cynical travesty of justice. 1978, 68pp.

RACIAL KINSHIP (H.B. Isherwood) 30p (12p). A further well-argued presentation of the case for 'racism' by the author of *Race and Politics*. 1974, 36pp.

ARCHITECTS OF CONSPIRACY (William P. Hoar) £15.00 (£1.41). One of the most serious and detailed accounts of financial manipulation ever published. From the time of the American Revolution to the present, we are given names, dates and facts. The material originally appeared in the journal *American Opinion*. 1984, 361pp.

THE TURNER DIARIES (Andrew MacDonald) £1.50 (34p). A powerful novel describing the fight-back of the white man in America. A futuristic fantasy that might not be so fantastic after all. 1980, 211pp.

CONSPIRACY OR DEGENERACY? (Prof. Revilo P. Oliver) £4.00 (52p). Text of lecture by the author, a brilliant scholar, to New England rally for God, Family and Country in 1966. 76pp.

AMERICA'S DECLINE: THE EDUCATION OF A CONSERVATIVE (Prof. Revilo P. Oliver) £3.50 (46p). A collection of articles and reviews on various aspects of the collapse of modern civilisation. 1981, 375pp.

POPULISM AND ELITISM (Prof. Revilo P. Oliver) £4.00 (52p). A study of the purpose and function of political power and the elements which wield it in the present century. 1982, 101pp.

THE ENEMY OF EUROPE (Francis Parker Yockey & Prof. Revilo P. Oliver) £6.00 (75p). Thought-provoking essay on the powers set on destroying the European peoples, written by Yockey, accompanied by a review of the same work by Prof. Oliver. 1981, 240pp.

I.Q. AND RACIAL DIFFERENCES (Prof. Henry Garrett) £1.00 (12p). Clear and concise summary of the evidence of racial differences in intelligence and their significance in education. 1980, 57pp.

THE UNHOLY ALLIANCE (Patrick Walsh) £2.25 (56p). A former Canadian undercover police officer exposes the dirty tricks employed by Zionists and Communists to misdirect and destroy patriotic groups and to suppress free enquiry. 1986, 34pp.

IS THERE INTELLIGENT LIFE ON EARTH (Prof. Revilo P. Oliver) £4.00 (50p). An entertaining survey of modern fallacies and their charlatan promoters. 1983, 94pp.

THE USES OF RELIGION (Prof. Revilo P. Oliver) £1.00 (12p). Examines the value of religion as a socially cohesive force. 1982, 36pp.

THE GREAT HOLOCAUST TRIAL (Michael A. Hoffman II) £4.00 (50p). Extremely comprehensive and well written report on the infamous trial of Ernst Zundel in Canada, also covered in *The Zundel Trial and Free Speech*. 1985, 95pp.

OTHER PUBLICITY MATERIAL

B.N.P. STATEMENT OF POLICY

An up-to-date resume of the main political objectives of the British National Party. 22p post-free from: PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

B.N.P. ELECTION MANIFESTO 1983

Booklet outlining the policies on which the British National Party fought the 1983 General Election. Entitled *Vote for Britain* (23pp). 42p post-free from: PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

B.N.P. LEAFLETS

Fed up with the party politicians? Reprint of a popular old Nationalist leaflet, brought up to date. Deals with British National Party policies on the main national issues.

Have you been thrown on the scrapheap by foreign imports? This leaflet deals with unemployment and explains in simple terms how munions or British jobs are being destroyed by the international free trade policies of successive governments. A brief and concise argument for economic nationalism.

Violent crime in Britain: the horrifying truth. Deals with muggings, rapes and other violent attacks on defenceless people, giving examples of specific cases. Calls for much tougher treatment of violent criminals.

This is OUR country. Leaflet questioning people on their views about race riots and multi-racialism and telling them that if they answer affirmatively their place is with the British National Party. Features vivid picture of riot area in flames.

These leaflets cost £4.50 per 1,000 with postage costs at £2.23 for 1,000, £2.65 for 2,000 and £3.10 for 3,000. Orders with cash to PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

B.N.P. POSTERS

Large posters (17.7in. x 25.2in.)

Multi-racial Britain: the experiment that failed. Help us end it! Collage of 1981 riot photos, with BNP name and address and logo in red, white and blue. Limited quantities left in stock. Not being reprinted.

Plain poster. Contains BNP name and address and logo in red, white and blue. Remaining space empty for slogan to be filled in that is appropriate to the occasion. Available only to accredited BNP regional, branch or group Organisers.

These large-size posters cost: 1-9 at 12p each; 10-19 at 10p each; 20-49 at 8p each; 50 or over at 6p each. Estimate postage at weight of 20g for 1 poster.

Medium-sized posters (12.6in. x 17.7in.)

Multi-racial Britain: the experiment that failed. Help us end it! Collage of 1981 riot photos.

Oppose the disarmers! Build up our forces! Make Britain strong! Photo of Royal Marine in action with machine gun.

She freezes in winter while Third World gets £1,000 million a year. Put British people before aliens! Photo of old lady by pit fire.

Support Ulster: smash terrorism. Hang IRA murderers! Drawing of man holding hangman's rope with wife and youngster and flag in background.

Protect British jobs: ban imports! Special unemployment poster with photo of Japanese cars coming off boat.

CND are Moscow's puppets. Don't be fooled by them. Keep Britain's bomb. Drawing of Soviet Army General manipulating nuclear disarmers on puppet strings.

Protect our women and old folk: stamp out muggers! Drawing of typical mugging gang.

These posters contain the BNP name and address and logo in red, white and blue and are priced: 1-9 at 12p each; 10-19 at 10p each; 20-49 at 8p each; 50 or over at 6p each. Postage should be estimated on the basis of one poster weighing 10g.

Orders with cash to PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

NEW B.N.P. STICKERS

New stickers with slogans:-

Fight subversion: smash Communism!

Put British people before aliens! (same as poster).

Start repatriation!

Make Britain strong! (same as poster).

Ban imports! (same as poster).

Stamp out muggers! (same as poster).

Hang IRA murderers! (same as poster).

Keep Britain's bomb! (same as poster).

Protect our young from child murderers: bring back the rope!

Scrap the Anglo-Irish Agreement: Keep Ulster British!

Love the white race: protect its future!

Abortion is child-murder: make it illegal!

Protect us from AIDS: outlaw homosexuality!

Get Britain out of the Common Market!

Stickers with BNP name and address and logo in red, white and blue. Price: £4 per 1,000 plus 98p p&p. Orders with cash to PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

B.N.P. RECORDINGS

Rally '82: Speeches from the BNP national rally in London on October 16th 1982, the theme of which was "Unite and fight for Britain's future!"

Side 1: Includes speech by Charles Parker.

Side 2: Speech by John Tyndall.

Voices of Nationalism:

Side 1: Speeches from the Nationalist Unity rally in London on September 5th 1981. Hear John Tyndall, Kenneth McKilliam, Len Bearsford-Walker and others.

Side 2: Talk by John Tyndall on the theme: "The coming British Revolution"

Tyndall speaks I: Two studio talks:-

Side 1: Talk on theme of "Our Anglo-Saxon heritage (about the worldwide dispersion of the peoples of British stock).

Side 2: Talk on theme of "Britain's economic crisis"

Tyndall speaks II: Two studio talks:-

Side 1: Talk on the theme of "The case for Nationalism (the internationalist argument demolished).

Side 2: Talk on the theme of "Tragedy of the 20th Century (analysis of the Second World War).

Tyndall speaks III: Two studio talks:-

Side 1: Talk on the theme of "Why we must repatriate".

Side 2: Talk on the theme of "Foundations of the national community" (Discourse on Racial Nationalism and its concepts of government and citizenship).

Cassette recordings available at £3.50 plus 18p p&p. Orders with cash to: PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

B.N.P. BADGES

British National Party badges available for £1.25 post-free from PO Box 457, London SE20 7QE.

B.N.P. SHIELD

Attractively designed British National Party shield carved in wood and containing two crossed Union Jacks with the words "British National Party — for race and nation". Ideal for your mantelpiece or wall. Available at £15 post-free. Orders with cash to: Plymouth BNP, PO Box 75, Plymouth PL1 1SQ.

BRITISH NATIONALIST SONG TAPE

Containing 9 songs, including: *Boys of the building breed; Britain is my home; White men awake!; My skin is white; Sons of Britain; Britain is a white man's land; Members of the BNP; Soldiers of the BNP and Dear Motherland.* Available at £3.50 plus 66p p&p. Copies of lyrics sent with each order. Orders with cash to Plymouth BNP, PO Box 75, Plymouth PL1 1SQ.

B.N.P. TIES, SELF-ADHESIVE STICKERS, BEER MATS, BALL PENS

Dark navy blue polyester necktie bearing BNP red, white and blue logo. Available at £4.50 post-free.

BNP round stickers bearing party logo with name and address. 2½in diameter: £4.60 per roll of 500 inc. p&p (collected £4 per roll); 2in diameter £4.25 per roll of 500 (collected £3.85 per roll); 1½in diameter: £3.25 per roll of 500 (collected £2.95 per roll).

Oblong BNP stickers with party logo, name and address, with slogans:- (1) A new way forward for Britain; (2) Stop the riots — peace through repatriation; (3) Smash the IRA — keep Ulster British; (4) Release patriotic political prisoners; (5) Join us now! Make Britain a riot-free zone; (6) Our country — love it or lose it! £4.70 per roll of 500 inc. p&p. Rolls of 100 stickers also available with different slogans — minimum order 500.

BNP beer mats with party logo, name and address. Slogan: *British Nationalism is working for our people — let's rebuild Britain now!* Ideal recruitment aid for leaving in pubs or for nationalist socials. Samples for £1 or packs for £3/£5/£10 inc. p&p.

BNP ball pens. Brown/gold retractable ball pen with clip:

3 for £1 inc. p&p. White/blue ball pen 6 for £1 inc. p&p. Both types of pen stamped with party name.

Stick pen with clip; publicity pencil; publicity pencil with rubber; teric ball pen; super retractable pen. One of each type for £1 inc. p&p. All stamped with party name.

BNP branch fund cards. Sample card for 26p in stamps. 10 cards for £1.50 inc. p&p.

A4-size holocaust leaflet/poster. 100 for £3.40. Grim statistics about the forgotten victims of the real 20th century Soviet holocaust. Good for distribution to opinion-formers.

Orders with cash to Norwich BNP, PO Box 107, Norwich NR2 2SR.

B.N.P. PENS AND DIARIES

Pens bearing the slogan "British National Party — for race and nation". Sample for 22p post-free.

Orders with cash to Liverpool BNP, PO Box 72, Liverpool L69 8AJ.

CANDOUR

British views letter, founded by A.K. Chesterton to defend national sovereignty against the menace of international finance. Subscription £5 per year. Obtainable from: Forest House, Liss Forest, Hants. GU33 7DD.

THE THUNDERBOLT


Hard hitting paper for American and other white race patriots. Sample copy for £1 from: PO Box 1211, Marietta, Ga. 30061, U.S.A.

B.N.P. KEY RINGS

Key rings with attachment containing BNP party logo in red, white and blue. £1.75 inc. p&p from: PO Box 457, London SE20 7QE.

POPULAR ITEMS

Prices on this page



**OPPOSE THE DISARMERS!
BUILD UP OUR FORCES!**



**MAKE BRITAIN
STRONG**

BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY 
PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS

BNP to fight by-election in Greenwich

FOLLOWING the death of the Labour MP for Greenwich, Guy Barnett, a by-election will shortly be called for that constituency. The British National Party has decided to contest the election and has selected **Mr. Ian Dell** as its prospective candidate.

Ian Dell is 27 years of age and is one of the party's leading activists. He is very concerned about the unemployment situation and has himself been a victim of it. He is also deeply worried about the moral decline of the nation, especially in as much as it affects the younger generation. He will be fighting on local as well as national issues, and one of the former will be the policy of the Labour-controlled Greenwich Council of harrassing white council tenants suspected of 'racism'.

Greenwich Branch of the BNP, which is putting up the candidate, has a very keen and dedicated team of activists. It is small in number, however, and for its campaign to be effective it will need to be able to call on the support of other activists in the London area. In the run-up to the election, which is

expected to take place in March, this campaign will be given precedence in all London activities.

The party intends to send a well printed election address supporting its candidate to every home in the constituency and to back this up by plenty of leafletting and canvassing. The literature will be costly and it is

expected that the campaign will cost at least £1,000. For this purpose a special Greenwich By-Election Fund has been started for which the party is making an appeal nationwide. All contributions should be marked as described and sent to the BNP South London regional address, which is also its national address, at PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

VITAL YEAR FOR BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY

THE YEAR 1987 will be a vital year in the development of the British National Party. It is quite possible that a general election will fall during the year. The party has made a commitment to fight at least 25 seats in any such election with a full back-up campaign in each area concerned. Should the election be postponed till 1988, it is hoped that the party will then be in a position to fight, not only these seats, but a further 25, thus qualifying for broadcasting time.

In either event, 1987 is going to be a year of intense preparation by the party for the coming electoral test, and electoral activity will have precedence over all other party work.

The by-election shortly due to fall in Greenwich, and which the party will be fighting, will be an ideal test and a feeling of the water. Greenwich Branch of the BNP has given a lead to much of the rest of the country in the way of raising election funds. Though a small branch in numbers of active members, it is extremely well organised financially and has already raised sufficient money to fight 3 seats with full back-up campaigns.

SUPPORT THESE FUNDS!

THERE ARE THREE important funds currently being operated by the British National Party, all of which vitally need support in the immediate future. These are:-

GENERAL ELECTION FUND

The BNP aims to contest a minimum of 20 seats in the next General Election with a full back-up campaign that will cost at least £1,000 per seat — plus, if possible, 30 more seats so as to qualify the party for broadcasting time. In every area local funds are being launched for this purpose but we need in addition a national fund to supplement and, if necessary, underwrite these local funds. Please make cheques out to: British National Party General Election Fund.

HEADQUARTERS FUND

The BNP hopes this year to open a headquarters office in the London area staffed by a full-time office manager. We need money to finance this vital project. Some has already been raised but much more is required. Please make cheques out to: British National Party Headquarters Fund.

LEGAL DEFENCE FUND

Leading BNP activist Richard Edmonds and two colleagues are facing prosecution for taking a sledgehammer to the Nelson Mandela statue in London. Money is needed to pay for the defence and possibly for fines and damage. Please make out cheques to British National Party Legal Defence Fund.

All monies should be sent to: PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

Apologies for lateness of publication

Publication of this issue of *Spearhead* is somewhat later than usual due to holdups caused by the Yuletide holiday period. We will still be running slightly late with the February issue, though less than this month. By March we hope to be back to normal schedule. We apologise to our readers and hope that they will bear with us in these delays.

BRITISH NATIONALIST

British Nationalist is a tabloid published in support of the British National Party, normally on a monthly basis. Sample copies will be sent on receipt of 27p (covering p&p). Subscription for 12 issues is £3.45 (British Isles) or £4.00 (overseas surface mail). Bulk rates are as follows:-

| | | |
|--------------|--------|-----------------|
| 10 copies | £1.20 | plus 37p post |
| 25 copies | £2.70 | plus £1.00 post |
| 50 copies | £4.80 | plus £1.90 post |
| 100 copies | £8.40 | plus £2.35 post |
| 150 copies | £12.00 | plus £2.55 post |
| 200 copies | £15.00 | plus £2.75 post |
| 300 copies | £21.00 | plus £2.95 post |
| 400 copies | £26.00 | plus £3.25 post |
| 500 copies | £32.00 |) Bulk rates |
| 1,000 copies | £62.00 |) by Roadline |

Cheques/postal orders to *British Nationalist*, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS. Please keep orders and enquiries concerning *British Nationalist* totally separate from other correspondence in order to avoid confusion and delay.

IN THIS MAGAZINE there occur from time to time articles and reports which reflect unfavourably on some sections of the racial minorities in Britain, such as facts about crime, rioting, etc. These facts, and any opinions that are expressed in connection with them, are intended to persuade our readers of the failure of multi-racialism, not to encourage anyone to express hatred against members of racial minority groups. We oppose this hatred because it is entirely counter-productive and diverts attention from the real issue, which is that native British Whites and coloured immigrants should be SEPARATED — in the interests of both. There are a number of members of racial minorities who agree with us in this view, and we welcome their co-operation in achieving our common goal, which is peaceful and humane repatriation or resettlement of racial minorities in countries overseas.

We are fully aware, when we make reference to anti-social behaviour by racial minority members, that there is much anti-social behaviour also by native Whites, for instance football hooliganism, which is a mainly white phenomenon. When this anti-social behaviour by Whites occurs, we condemn it as much as we condemn similar behaviour by coloureds. Just as we feel it legitimate, however, to point out that football hooliganism is a form of behaviour for which Whites are mainly responsible, so we also maintain it to be legitimate, when racial minorities are implicated in certain forms of anti-social or criminal behaviour out of proportion to their numbers, to report this frankly and to discuss its implications for Britain's future.


In conclusion, we urge all those who feel angered by the results of the multi-racial experiment not to vent their anger upon innocent members of racial minorities, but to join with us in taking lawful political action to oppose the politicians who have created the problem.

LIVERPOOL LEADERS BEAT FRAME-UP ATTEMPT

LIVERPOOL BNP Branch Organiser Ken Usher and North West Regional Organiser Ian Sloan have recently been acquitted following an attempted frame-up on a charge of causing damage to a left-wing bookshop in the city.

The charge was brought after local reds, who hate the BNP like poison, reported damage to the shop, called the 'News from Nowhere' bookshop. The 'evidence' against the BNP men was so non-existent as to be farcical. Nevertheless, the police were prepared to bring the charges against the two. This could have something to do with the fact that local Chief Constable Kenneth Oxford is one of the worst establishment toadies among Britain's senior police officers and is frantic to further his career by winning favour with the powerful and vociferous 'race relations' lobby on Merseyside. Clearly, orders have come down from Oxford for continual harassment of nationalists in the area, and this was the probable reason for the previous arrest and 'third-degree' interrogation of Ken Usher some time ago, which ended in his not being charged with any offence.

The two Liverpool men believe that the police officers handling the case, having been



IAN SLOAN

tipped the wink by Oxford, 'fed' their two names to the reds, as they were simply the leading and best-known nationalists in the area. On the police's suggestion, the reds made a claim that they had seen the two near the scene of the crime at the time it occurred. This was quite ludicrous, as both were a long way away.

The BNP's counsel easily destroyed the 'evidence' of the reds and got the two men acquitted completely. Cross-examination by counsel clearly showed the likely police complicity in the attempted frame-up.

Merseyside police as a whole, like most

Thank you!

Mr. and Mrs. Tyndall would like to thank all those many good readers and supporters who were kind enough to send Yuletide cards last month. It is hoped that everyone will understand the impossibility of replying to each of these individually or of sending reciprocal cards every year. Please accept this message as a notice of our appreciation of your seasonal greetings and good wishes.



KEN USHER

police elsewhere, are a fair bunch and by no means unsympathetic to nationalism. There is in the area, however, a small minority element frantic to kow-tow to the 'ethnics' by making life difficult for the activists of the BNP, which is the dominant nationalist group on Merseyside. On this occasion, as in the past, they have been thwarted. Congratulations to Ken and Ian on a well deserved victory!

Contact your local party unit

THE BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY is organised into active units extending over most of the areas of the United Kingdom. Below we give a list of the local addresses of the main units:-

NORTH LONDON

PO Box 462, Greenwood Road, Hackney, London E8 4HH

WEST LONDON

PO Box 33, Greenford, Middx. UB6 8DS

SOUTH LONDON

PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS

Bromley

PO Box 457, London SE20 7QE

EAST KENT

73 Shirkoak Park, Woodchurch, Ashford

DEVON & CORNWALL

PO Box 75, Plymouth PL1 1SQ

EAST ANGLIA (NORTH)

A9 Johnson Place, Norwich NR2 2SA

EAST ANGLIA (SOUTH)

2 Albert Road, Framlingham, Suffolk

EAST MIDLANDS

PO Box 148, Leicester LE3 2YD

BIRMINGHAM

PO Box 771, Great Barr, Birmingham B44 9LZ

STOKE-ON-TRENT

PO Box 320, Tunstall, Stoke

LIVERPOOL

PO Box 116, Liverpool L69 1SH

MANCHESTER

PO Box 16, Salford M6 5EP

YORKSHIRE

Leeds & Bradford

PO Box 10, Bramley, Leeds 13

Wakefield

PO Box 42, Wakefield

Hull

PO Box 58, Hull HU6 7HR

TYNE & WEAR

PO Box 8, South Shields NE33 1LP

SCOTLAND

PO Box 85, Glasgow G3 8UL

The BNP also has units in West Kent, Basingstoke (Hants), Gloucestershire, Hertfordshire, Bedford, Cambridge, Devizes (Wilts), Brierley Hill (W. Midlands), Coventry, Burton-on-Trent, Bolton & Bury, Warrington, Halifax, Sheffield and Cardiff. If you want to make contact with any of these units, or start a new unit, please write to Party Head Office at: PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

TYPESETTER & ORDINARY FUNDS

During the last month we have received £43.00 in contributions to the Typesetter Fund, leaving the figure of £368.65 still to be raised.

We hope that our readers will contribute generously this month to both the Typesetter and Ordinary Funds, the latter of which owes the Typesetter Fund some hundreds of pounds due to its being depleted as a result of the Typesetter Fund appeal. All contributions should be sent to: PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS. Would anyone requiring a receipt for a donation of less than £10 please enclose SAE.

MOTHER NATURE STRIKES BACK

(Contd. from page 8)

Homosexual antics, once restricted to a few bars and private meeting places in the large cities, multiplied exponentially, and the faggot on the prowl was able to make two of three contacts a night, instead of one a month. The myriads of neuters and potential or part-time gays, now that promiscuity had become the rule, no longer cowered in back-street dives, but jammed and dominated the country's neon-lit night-life.

Much to everyone's amazement, the homosexual scene was now "in." Even presidential candidates like Walter Mondale came to flatter assembled gatherings of queers and beg for their votes. It soon came to pass that fairies were actually given a higher status than ordinary folk.

Attracted by all the wealth and glitter, male prostitutes soon outnumbered their female counterparts under many megalopolitan streetlamps.

Then, just when the gays thought they had it made, up popped AIDS. All of a sudden, the mediocrats of their ilk were no longer eager to woo the lavender laddies.

Hollywood, infested with gays, still puts on AIDS relief benefits, but actresses are never more skittish about long stints of kissing and other more intimate scenes in R- and X-rates films. They wonder if their screen or TV lover might be another Rock Hudson.

The point is that AIDS is now reminding us forgetful ones that homosexuals have always been the outcasts of humanity – and rightly so since they are the sworn enemies of Mother Nature.

WALKING DEATH

If life depends on reproduction, then the homosexual is walking death. It is not so much that he does not reproduce – he occasionally plays the role of father as a cover-up – it is because his twisted mind and glands transform him into an entirely different kind of organism – one that in addition to injuring society physically, as with the filthy disease of AIDS, infects it spiritually with loathsome habits, loathsome tastes and loathsome thoughts.

Anyone who really believes in gay rights should visit gay bars, read gay magazines and participate in

some of their "daisy chains." There, he or she will meet creatures with leather and chains lording it over pathetic, mincing catamites and staging emetic scenes that would disgust the Marquis de Sade.

Who else but the present generation of gays would go to disease-ridden bathhouses and exult over "glory holes"? Who else would perform cloacal sexual acts, both in private and in public, that would outrage monkeys?

Who else would come down with something far more deadly and repulsive than leprosy and then knowingly go out and pass it on to the first male he could pick up?

To devise a solution for the homosexual problem, we must first familiarize ourselves with the nature of the beast.

Once we shuck aside the equalitarian and humanitarian drivel that they and their supporters give off to camouflage their intrinsic bestiality, once we probe their minds and examine their depraved behavior, we will quickly come to the conclusion that there is only one place for them – back in their historic closet.

Perverts should have no more rights and no more freedom than any other menace to society. Their very presence in public life is an all-out attack on the moral standards that hold civilization together.

AIDS is the signal from Mother Nature that we are heading for big trouble if we don't come to our senses soon and deal with queers as they should be dealt with.

They are just as dangerous to the West as the Bomb, the crime wave, minority racism, pornography, drugs, venal politicians and incredible budget deficits.

Mother Nature is already battling the gay legions. She may soon battle us if we don't become her ally. □

Reprinted with acknowledgements to INSTAURATION magazine, Box 76, Cape Canaveral, Florida 32920, U.S.A.

FOR SALE: Large collection of political pamphlets of interest to Spearhead subscribers. Please write for sales list enclosing two 13p stamps to Box 593, Spearhead, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

Find out about the British National Party

Send 25p for information pack

To:
P.O. BOX 446
LONDON SE23 2LS

Name.....

Address.....

I enclose.....